START

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA, MUMBAI.

NAME OF RECORD : **REVENUE** DEPTT. VOL.

NO :

YEAR : 1854

EXPOSED BY : ANIL SAWANT

DATE : 29/05/2008

1854

6567

6573

Revenue Department

Vol 164

1854.

No. 93.

R. D.

Transit Duties

Belgaum & Dharwar.

Jaghirdars of the Southern Mahratta Country.

Former proceedings – Comp. 506 of 1853.

Subsequent proceedings – Comp. 561 of 1855.

Recd 29th Oct 1853

No. 90 of 1853

49.

Dated 10th October 18..

From

at Belgaum

F S Chapman Esquire

Assistant Inam Commiss..

In charge of the Hon'ble Enquir..

To

O J Manson Esquire

Inam Commissioner

Sir

I have the honor of requesting you will solicit the sanction of Government for stopping the payment of the sums now received by the Jaghierd.. in the Southern Maratha Country, on account of losses sustained by the abolition of transit duties.—

(2) 2nd As you are probably aware the cas.. of these Chiefs were enquired into some years ago, principally by Mr. Townsend, and a temporary settlement made on the strength of which Government sanctioned two thirds of the estimated loss being disbursed.— The object in now requesting that these payments may be discontinued is this.— As far back as the 1st July last, I addressed through the Political Age..

Para 4 of letter No. 3781 dated 21st September 1847.—

Litt.

letters to each of the Jury heard are calling upon them to produce within one month after their receipt, all the proof they may be possessed of in connection with their respective claims. — The accounts on which the former settlements were based I had previously procured from the Collector. — Up to the present time I have not succeeded in obtaining a satisfactory answer from one of these Chiefs, as to whether they are or are not capable of producing any additional proof. — Nor, do I see the slightest prospect of my ever doing so, unless payment of their present allowances (with the amount of which they appear perfectly well contented) be discontinued. —

They can, I think, have no cause for complaint at the adoption of this course. — The object of granting them the advances, was simply to afford relief pending the final settlement; and now that every thing is ready on the part of Government to effect this settlement, the grounds for the indulgence no longer exist. —

(4th) Another reason for my pressing

this request is that I am now nearly run ou
of work The cases in the Belgaum Colle
-ate with the exception of some trifling ones a
settled and in a few days I propose proce
-ing to Dharwar; where from what I can at pre
y ather the work is not likely to be heavy

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient serva

H Chapman

Asst Inam Commiss

In charge of the Hud. Engu

No 2644.

5630

Dated 19th October 1853,

At Kirkee

Submitted for the orders of Govern
-ment.-

[signature]
Inam Commissioner

From Inam Commissioner No 2644 dated 19 October 185
Submitting for the orders of Government an original letter
from his Assistant Mr Chapman requesting their sanction
for stopping the payment of the sums now received by
Jagheerdars in the S. M. Country, on account of losses sustai
ed by the abolition of Transit duties.-

+ No 90 dated 10 October 1853

Revenue Cus 1854

d/29 May 1854

No 5039 & 40

Inam Commissioner

Dy. 19th Octr/53.

-ommitting for the owners of Govt
an original letter from his Assistt
Mr Chapman, requesting
their sanction for stopping
the payment of the sums
now received by the Jagheerdars
in the S. M. Country, on account
of losses sustained by the
abolition of Transit duties.

No. 6265 of 1853.

Territorial Department. Revenue.

Forwarded to the Political Agent Southern Mahratta Country

for Report (to be written below)

By order, &c

[signature]

Chief Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
26 Oct 1853.

No. 82 of 1854

In reply the Political Agent S M Country begs to submit copy of his assistant's report No. 100 dated 27th Instant and with it his opinion that the Assistant Enam Commissioner should decide upon the claims of the Chiefs upon the data furnished to him.

Poll Agent's office
Belgaum
30th March 1854.
Comp'd A B H

[signature]
Political Agent
S M C

From E. P. Downs, Commissioner
Assistant Political Agt.

To,
J. D. Inverarety Esq.
Political

Sir,

Dated 27th March 1854.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the letter addressed to the Enam Commissioner by his Assistant Mr. Chapman, dated the 10th of October last No. 90, forwarded for my report with your endorsement dated the 29th idem No. 523 of 4 ...

2. On the receipt of this communication I addressed letters to the several chiefs requesting them to explain why they had not furnished the information required by Mr. Chapman and at the same time conveying to them the substance of that gentleman's letter to the Enam Commissioner, and advising them to forward without further delay all the accounts they might be possessed of connected with the transfer ...

3. The replies of the Chiefs have now been received and they one and all with the exception of the Chief of Meerut 1 who has lately applied for, and obtained the permission of Government to despatch to Mr. Chapman's office

the

the late Northern Whaling Point, for the purpose of explaining his accounts) — state that they have replied to Mr Chapman's letter dated 15th July 1853 and have also forwarded to that gentleman their Cashbooks with the transit accounts as proof in support of their claim to compensation as required. —

Mr Chapman however, whom I have recently been informed me that the replies he had received were very far from satisfactory, and that all the accounts he required had not been forwarded to him; the daily wheelbook detailed accounts which certainly should be forthcoming had, in every instance nearly, been kept back. —

4. There can be but one motive for keeping back their accounts, and I am respectfully of opinion that either the measure for compelling their production as recommended by the Assistant Examiner Commissioner, should be adopted or that he should proceed with the settlement of their claims on the data now before him taking into consideration

the

the absence of accounts in fixing the amount of compensation.—

I have the honor to be &c

(Signed) E. P. Down
Asst. Poll agent S.M.C.

Camp Tehgoor
27th March 1856.

(True Copy

[illegible]
Political agent S.M.C.

Compd. M.H.C.

[illegible]

Revenue for 1854
of 29 May 1854
A 5041 HD

5957

Copies.

No. 39 of 1854.

Dated 8th April 1854

At Dharwar.

From,

J. S. Chapman Esquire,

Assistant Inam Commissioner

To,

C. J. Manson Esquire,

Inam Commissioner

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will solicit the permission of Government for my employing, under the sanction contained in the Resolution No. 1332 dated 8th March 1853, an efficient Harkaree to assist me in the responsible duty of settling the Southern Maratha Jagheerdars' claims to compensation.

2/d. The full amount of the Government sanction (Rupees 213 per mensem) is now employed in paying my present establishment, the members of which have hitherto performed to my satisfaction

the

the duties connected with the disposal of ordinary cases in Regulation Districts; and whom I now find very useful in performing the subordinate work of making the calculations in the Jagheer cases. But there is no one amongst them thoroughly versed in the peculiar system of accounts in force in the Jagheer territories; or capable of pointing out satisfactorily the evidence deriveable from their intrinsic characteristics.

[3]rd The person I propose to select for this particular duty is the Assistant Political Agents Sheristedar, Keshes Rao, who, from his long and intimate connection with the Political Department, would I anticipate, afford me very valuable assistance.

[4]th The Assistant Political Agent, Mr. Dowr, has informed me that he could spare Keshes Rao's services for this duty. At present, he is in the receipt of Rupees 55 exclusive of travelling allowance, and I am of opinion that while employed

ed under me, he should receive at the low-
est Rupees 70.

[5]th Considering the large sums which these Parties claim, a monthly expenditure of Rupees 70 for a short period, would, when it came to be divided amongst them, not amount to any thing very unreasonably large.-

I have the honor to be, &c.
Signed T. S. Chapman
Assistant Inam Commr.
In charge of the Anti Enquiry.

No. 3205.
Dated 6th April 1854
At Belgaum

From,
C. F. Manson Esquire,
Inam Commissioner

To,
J. D. Inverarity Esquire,
Political Agent S. M. C.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that

that Mr. Chapman, Assistant Inam Commissioner in charge of the Huk Enquiry has applied for the services of Mr. Downs Carcoon Heshow Rao, to assist him in the duty of settling the Southern Mahratta Jagheerdars' claims to compensation.-

2. Mr. Down has informed Mr. Chapman that he could spare Heshow Rao's services for this duty, and if you have no objection to the measure, Mr. Down might be authorized to send him as soon as he could conveniently do so, and I could ask for the sanction of Government to give some extra remuneration for his services

I have the honor to be &c.
(Signed) C J Manson
Inam Commissioner

No. 219 of 1854

From,

J. D. Inverarity Esquire
Political Agent S M Country

To,

C J. Manson Esquire,
Inam Commissioner.

Belgaum
Dated

Dated 7th April 1854.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this day of your letter No. 3205, dated 6th Instant, in which you inform me that Mr. Chapman, Assistant Enam Commissioner in charge of the Kuk Enquiry has applied for the services of Mr. Down's Carcoon Keshoo Rao to assist him in the duty of settling the Southern Maratha Jagheerdar's claims to compensation, and that Mr. Down has intimated to Mr. Chapman that he could spare Keshoo Rao's services for the said duty.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that among other objections to this measure which, I could adduce I am of opinion that the circumstances attending Keshoo Rao's position in my Assistant's Office, as well as his former employment in Jamkhundee are such as to disqualify him from assisting in the duty of settling the Southern Muratha Jagheerdar's claims.

Political Agent's Office
Belgaon
7th April 1854.

I have the honor to be &c.
(Signed) J. D. Inverarity
Political Agent S.M.C.

No. 3210

Dated 8th April 1854,

At Belgaum

From

C. J. Manson Esquire,
Inam Commissioner

To

J. R. Unwarty Esquire,
Political Agent S. M. C.

Sir,

Under the circumstances mentioned in your letter No. 210 of yesterday date, the receipt of which I beg to acknowledge, I have the honor to request the favor of your informing me whether you could spare any other Carcoon for the duty in question.

2 The claims of the Jageerdars of the S. M. C are undoubtedly the most important that Mr. Chapman has yet had to deal with, and as he has no Carcoon versed in the peculiar system of accounts in force in the Jageer Districts or capable of pointing out satisfactorily the evidence

desirable

derivable from their intrinsic characteristics it is very desirous that he should have the means of fully appreciating this matter before admitting or rejecting a claim.—

3. Should you have any difficulty in complying with this requisition, I would propose to Mr. Chapman to come in here to avail himself of the services of one of Mr. Griffiths Carcoons, who possesses a good general knowledge of the system of account keeping by Natives.—

I have the honor to be &c.

(Signed) C. J. Manson
Inam Commissioner.—

No. 227 of 1854

From

J. (d) Inverarity Esquire,
Political Agent. S. M. C.

To,

C. J. Manson Esquire,
Inam Commissioner,
Belgaon.

Dated 8th April 1854.—

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 3210 of this date, I have the honor to state that in the Political Department of this Office there is no Carcoon, I could spare or recommend for the duty of assisting Mr. Chapman in his enquiry, who is versed in the peculiar system of accounts in force in the Jaghire Districts or capable of pointing out satisfactorily the evidence derivable from their intrinsic characteristics.

Poll. Agents Office
Belgaon
8th April 1854

I have the honor to be &c.
Signed J. D. Inverarity
Political Agent S. M. C.

No. 3212

Dated 12th April 1854

At Belgaum

Copy of the correspondence noted in the margin is forwarded to Mr. Chapman, Assistant Inam Commissioner, for

1 Inam Commissioners Letter to Political Agent S. M. C. No. 3205 dated 6th April 1854 —
2 Political Agents reply No. 219 dated 7th April 1854 —
3 Inam Comm.rs Letter to Political Agent S. M. C. No. 3210 dated 8th April 1854 —
4 Political Agents reply No. 227 dated 8th April 1854 —

for his information, with reference to his Letter No. 39 dated 3rd April 1854.—

(Signed) C. J. Manson
Inam Commissioner

True Copies
[signature]
Inam Commissioner.

Copies
[illegible]

No. 4 of 1854

Dated 13th April 1854

At Dharwar

From

F. L. Chapman Esquire
Assistant Inam Commissioner
In charge of the Hub Enquiry

To

C. J. Manson Esquire
Inam Commissioner

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that my enquiries have now arrived at that stage at which it is absolutely necessary some decisive measures should be taken to compel the Southern Marathi Jagheerdars to come forward and have their claims finally adjusted.—

(2)nd As long as I had work in Regulation Districts, I was in no hurry to commence these cases; thinking it perhaps as well to obtain as much experience as possible before entering on them.—

(3)rd In July last I issued, through the Political Agent, Circulars to the several Chiefs, desiring them to state whether they were capable

of adducing any proof in addition to that produced before Mr. Townsend, and that if so they were to ay it before me within one month of the receipt of the letter. - Finding, however, that no notice was taken of these communications, I addressed you a letter No. o dated 10th October 1853, in which I recommended that until they chose to comply with my requisition, ayment of the sums they are now in receipt of should e withheld. - I pointed out at the same time, that e object for which these temporary advances were originally sanctioned no longer existed, as everything was now ready on the part of Government to decide the claims finally. - Up to the present time I have received no answer to this communication.

(41)th Again, in Paras. 30 and 31 of my letter No. 16 dated the 11th February last, alluding to the length of time the whole enquiry was likely to ust, I observed

"(30)th The Ultra Regulation transfer duty "cases those of the Southern Maratha Chiefs,

still

"Still remain to be re-enquired into and
"vised.— It is difficult to say when the
"work will be completed, as hitherto I have
"only succeeded in obtaining answers from two
"of these Jagheerdars as to whether they are or are
"not capable of producing any proof in ad-
"dition to that adduced before Mr. Townsend.
"They have been informed of the general Rules
"and of the penalties attached to non-attend-
"ance.— I would solicit your instruction
"as to whether the Rules are to be considered
"applicable to them."

"(31)st. I am at present, for want of
"other work, engaged in enquiring into these
"cases according to the proof previously pro-
"duced.— This is but unsatisfactory kind
"of employment, as in the event of any fresh
"evidence being produced my labour will ob-
"viously be useless."

(5)th. With the exception of the two Ja-
-gheerdars above alluded to, the Raja of Moodhole,

and

and the Bace Sahib of Ramdroog, no others have as yet come forward and complied with my request.- The evidence, too, on which the late Political Agent Mr. Townsend based his decisions has been gone into and examined; so that I am really now quite at a loss for employment.-

(6)th It is imperative, therefore, that immediate steps should be adopted to bring these cases to a close.- The plan I would respectfully solicit authority for pursuing is this.- To issue a final circular, informing them, that if within fifteen days of its receipt they do not furnish a definite answer, their cases will be disposed of upon the former evidence and such other as may be forthcoming from the Records of the late Government: any loss they may sustain from the decision being based on such scanty evidence, being the result of their own negligence.- An additional reason for adopting this course is, that it is impossible, I fear, to account for their unwillingness to give a straight-

forward

forward reply, unless on the grounds of fraudulent motive. For if they possess further authentic proof, what is to prevent their at once producing it? If they, on the other hand do not, what is to prevent their at once admitting that such is the case, unless they are employing the interval in fabricating proof?

(7) There is another point in connection with the proposal of these cases to which I would wish to draw your immediate attention. In my letter of the 3rd Instant, I pointed out that it was very desirable I should procure the services of a Native well versed in the peculiar system of the description of accounts; and capable of discovering the evidence deducible from their internal characteristics. I mentioned also, that there was no one among the members of my present Establishment possessed of the local knowledge and experience requisite to the satisfactory performance of this duty; and suggested that it would be advisable to secure the temporary employment, in this Department

partment of the Assistant Political Agent's Sheristedar Keshes Rao; a man eminently well qualified for the work. — I had already obtained Mr. Down's assurance that he could dispense with his services for the purpose; and remarked that under the Sanction of Government Resolution No. 1332. dated 3rd March 1853, there would be no difficulty in arranging about the Salary.

(8)th From the receipt of your Endorsement No. 3212. of the 19th Instant, I find that Mr. Inverarity objects to parting with this man for a short period, not on the grounds that the public service would be inconvenienced thereby; but because I the circumstances attending Keshes Rao's position on the Assistant Political Agent's Office as well as his former employment in Pumphindee are such as to disqualify him from assisting in the duty of settling the Southern Maratha Jagheerdars' claims.

I Para: 9 Letter No. 214 from Political Agent dated 4th April 1851

(9)th Now, I would respectfully observe, that the objections urged by Mr. Inverarity (objections based

based I infer on the score of this Karkoonship and present position rendering his integrity doubtful must invariably attend the employment in any Department of a person possessed of a local and intimate acquaintance with the duties, and if applicable at all must be peculiarly so to employing Rao in the situation he now holds. - In fact, it seems a question in this instance, whether it would be advisable to employ a man whose long connection with the affairs of the Chiefs might afford him perhaps special facilities for intrigue; or whether by not employing him we are to lose the certain advantages to be derived from his local knowledge. -

(13)th. I am humbly of opinion that with proper and constant supervision there is but little risk of Rao or any other subordinate being tampered with; and that unless Mr. Inversarity is possessed of more direct and specific grounds for questioning his integrity, the indirect and general reasons already adduced are insufficient to warrant our foregoing

the

Stages to be derived from his as-
tance especially as Mr. Inverarity states[I] there
no one else in his Establishment he can recom
mend for the duty.—

[I] Letter No. 224 dated 8th April 1851

11) th The requests then that I have the
honor to make are

(1) st Authority for compelling the Southern
Maratha Jagheerdars to come forward and
have their claims finally adjusted.

(2) ndly Permission to obtain the temporary
services of the Assistant Political Agents
Sheristedar.—

A compliance with both these propositions is, I
am humbly of opinion, essential to a prompt and
satisfactory disposal of these Jagheer cases.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Assistant Inam Commr.
In charge of the Hub Engineer

59.56

No. 5264

Dated 25th April 1854.

At Belgaum.

Submitted for the instructions of Government.

2. The Letter noticed in Mr. Chapman's 3rd para: was handed up by the Inam Commissioner on the 19th October 1853, with his Endorsement thereon No. 2644

3. The Inam Commissioner begs to express his concurrence in the proposition made in para: 6, and to enable Government to dispose of the request preferred in the four following paras: of the Letter for the temporary services of the Assistant Political Agent Shirastedar, he begs to append copies of the correspondence on the subject as per margin.

1. No. 39 of 1854, dated 3rd April from Mr. Chapman, Acting Inam Commissioner
2. Inam Commissioner's Letter, No. 5205, dated 6th April 1854, to Pol. Agent & M.C.
3. Political Agent's reply, No. 210 dated 7th April 1854
4. Inam Commissioner's Letter to Political Agent & M.C. No. 5213, dated 8th April 1854.
5. Political Agent's reply, No. 227 dated 8th April 1854.
6. Inam Commr's Endorsement to Mr. Chapman No. 5212 dated 18th April 1854.

[signature]

Inam Commissioner

Recd 29 April pg. 2728

Resn on a Memo

From Inam Commissioner No. 3204 dated 25th April 1854

Submitting a Letter from his Assistant Mr. Chapman in charge of the Auk Enquiry, No. 40 dated 13th April 1854, soliciting authority to issue a final Circular calling on the Southern Mahratta Jageerdars to come forward for the settlement of their claims to compensation on account of abolished levies; and appending copies of correspondence on the subject of the temporary employment applied for by Mr. Chapman, of the serv[illegible] Keshes Ras, the Sheristedar on the Establishment of the Assistant Political Agent, S.M.C.

G. Forder

Copied
Jno. Miller

(Here enter Resn.)

No. 2867 of 1854
T. [illegible] R.

× note — a copy of this Comm. has been sent to the Pol. Agent S.M.C.

× To the Inam Commr.

No. —

To the Pol. Agent, S.M.C. with ref. to his letter No. 219 dd 7th April 1854

14 June 1854

2748.

The Assistant Inam Commr in charge of the Auk Enquiry, solicits the authority of Govt for compelling the Southern Maharatta Jageerdars to come forward and have their claims to compensation on account of losses sustained by the abolition of Transit Duties, finally adjusted.

2 The instructions of Govt on this point have already been given in their Res: No 2439 dated 26th May 1834 in the following terms

Red Auk No 2154 herewith put up.

"Mr Chapman to proceed to "dispose of the claims of the Chiefs "upon the data previously submitted by them, if they do not "produce the further evidence "required."

3 Mr Chapman also requests permission to employ Keshoo Rao Sheristedar to the asst Pol: agent in the S. M. C to assist him in this responsible duty

4 Mr Chapman states that Keshoo Rao, from his long and intimate connection with the Pol: Dept: would render him very valuable assistance, but the Pol: agent objects to part with this man, because the circumstances attending his position

in his assistants office as well as his former employment in Jamkhundee are such as to disqualify him from assisting in the duty required of him

5 Mr Chapman observes that these objections based "on the score "of this Carcoons past & present position "rendering his integrity doubtful, "must invariably attend the employ- "ment in any Dept, of a person "possessed of a local and intimate "acquaintance with its duties, and "if applicable at all, must be pe- "culiarly so to employing Reshee "Rao in the situation he now "holds. In fact it seems a question "in this instance whether it would "be advisable to employ a man "whose long connection with the "affairs of the chiefs might afford "him, perhaps special facilities for intrigue, "or whether by not employing him ~~we~~ "we are to lose the certain ad- "vantages to be derived from his "local Knowledge".

"I am ~~of~~ humbly of opinion that "with proper and constant supervi- "sion there is but little risk of "Reshee Rao, or any other subordi- "nate being tampered with; and "that unless Mr Luverarity is possessed "of more direct & specific grounds "for questioning his integrity, the in- "direct & general reasons already adduced, "are insufficient to warrant our "foregoing the advantage to be derived

"from his assistance, especially as
"Mr Inverarity states there is no
"one else in his Establishment he
"can recommend for the duty.

6 Mr Inverarity ~~states that~~
~~he can adduce other objections~~
in ~~See~~ para 2 of his letter to Mr
Manson No 219 of the 7th April/54
refers the existence of other objections

Resolution No 5958

Mr. Manson to be referred to the instructions given in Govt. Resolution of 26th May 1854 No. 2439.

Mr. Inverarity to be requested to state in detail his reasons for thinking that Keshav Rao should not be employed on the proposed duty. an early reply to be requested.

E June 5.

J.W.

JMC 8/6/54

Gt 4 June 6

[illegible] of above
[illegible]
[illegible] 634

7484

No. Reg. of 1854

From

J. D. Inverarity Esquire.
Political Agent Sin Country

To,

H. E. Goldsmid Esquire.
Officiating Chief Secretary
to Government
Bombay

Dated. 5th July 1854

Political Department
Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of copy of the Resolution No. 2861 passed by Government under date the 14th Ultimo, in the 2nd para of which, I am directed to state in detail my reasons for thinking that Kesheo Rao, the Sheristadar on the Establishment of the Assistant Political Agent Sin Country should not be employed on the duty of assisting Mr. Chapman Assistant Inam Commissioner in the settlement of the Southern Mahratta Jagheerdar claims to compensation.—

2. In reply, I beg to state that the first mention I find made of Kesheo Gopal is in the 252 para: of Mr. Anderson's report No. 231 dated

25th October 1847, copy of which was submitted to Government with the Acting Political Agent's letter No. 558 dated 12th November following. He was then one of the Carcoons placed under the Meeriy Karbharee and was very useful to Mr. Anderson in the investigation of the Meeriy accounts and acquitted himself very much to his satisfaction. He was subsequently employed in the Jamkhundee Estate and Mr. Manson Assistant Political Agent, in his letter No. 12 dated 4th January 1851 to Mr. Reeves, states that if it is the intention to promote his Head Carcoon (Vishnoo Rugonath) he would prefer Kesheo Rao as his successor to a [illegible] of his acquaintance and in his report No. 14 of 4th January 1850, Mr. Manson records his opinion that Kesheo Rao is a clever, industrious and useful public servant and that he is quite eligible for the appointment of Mahalkuree of the higher grade and adds that the Jamkhundee Karbharee, under whom he has served for a period of 4 years, speaks of him as well acquainted with Revenue and Magisterial business and especially as an adept in all matters connected with Revenue Accounts.

Pol. Vol. 161/48

[illegible]

In his Pol. No. 83, dated,

8th October 1837 to Ragho Govind Dufturdar, Mr Reeves Collector and Political Agent intimated his appointment of Kesheo Gopal Bagayutkur, a subordinate Carcoon on the Establishment of the Jamkhundee Karbharee, to be Acting Head Carcoon on the Establishment of the Assistant Political Agent in the room of Vishnoo Rughoonath nominated, to act as Mamletdar of Gokak. There is no record in this office that Kesheo Gopal ever passed the examination or obtained the Certificate of qualification for service according to the orders of Government, which the Revenue Commissioner in the 5th para. of his letter to Government No. 1359 dated 15th July 1846, recommended should be enforced; Government having, previously in the 22nd para. of its letter to that Officer No. 4770 dated 10th October 1845 intimated that it was very desirable that no person should be allowed to enter the Government offices even as an Oomedwar, who has not undergone an examination as to his fitness for employment in the public service. It, therefore, appears to me that Kesheo Rao has entered the service, as it were by a bye-path. But he has not availed himself

opportunity of examination which offered
frequent to his appointment. The Revenue
Commissioner in the 2 para: of his letter of
4th August 1847 to the address of the Collector of
Sholapoor observes "that the orders, which require
all candidates for employment to stand an exami-
nation, are dated 15th October 1836 and that all
persons, who have entered the public service since
that date, are subject to these Rules and should not
be promoted till they have passed an examination
or if out of employ, should not be re-admitted, till
they have afforded this proof of their fitness." Yeshev
Rao's proof of fitness for service, as afforded by an
examination of his qualifications remains yet to
be recorded in this Office.

4. Apart from the consideration
adverted to in the preceding para: incidental
circumstances have been brought to my notice
which combined have induced me to form an
unfavorable opinion of Yeshev Rao. In a case
of Wilful murder and its concealment after the
fact, tried before me in March 1832 my proceeds
shew the following "The prisoner hanch under

produces

produces an original letter without signature and date, which he asserts to have been addressed, and sent by the Gokak Mamletdar Vishnoo Rughoona to the Sheristedar of the Assistant Political Agent Kesoo Rao Bagayutkur and which he declares, admits that the whole of the present Case against him has been got up. The letter states that the Case has been sent as directed, and that the Writer looks to the Sheristadar for promotion to the Ulhnee Talook having already received one compliment from the Siddur Toujdaree Adawlut." A copy of this letter (which with its translation is herewith submitted) was transmitted to the Assistant Political Agent with my letter No. 115 dated 25th March 1832. Copies of this letter and of Mr. Downs reply No. 77 dated 2 April are submitted. It appears strange to me that in a letter requiring, as stated by Mr. Down in his 3 para; some information regarding an absent servant there should be any necessity for mysterious or ambiguous phraseology.

Again I find Kesoo Rao, as will be seen from the accompanying copy of a Memo: drawn up by the Deputy Collector Hoozoor, privy to an

charge in a bill for Kinkob purchased in April 1833 for the use of the Assistant Political Agents Office.

The accompanying translation of a statement, made to Mr Down by my Magisterial Sheristedar Rookoomangud Rao Venktesh, who is temporarily doing duty in the Assistant Political Agents Office will shew that there is every reason to believe that an order of Mr Anderson directing a fine to be levied on his Sheristedar Vishnoo Rughonath had been suppressed but the order, tho' seen last year, is not now to be found; having doubtless, been purposely made away with by those interested in its suppression.

5. These circumstances I mention as among those, which have given me an unfavorable impression of Kesoo Rao. I say nothing of his residence in Vittoobas temple, which is considered to be the resort of rogues in Belgaum but confine myself to what appears in matters connected with Office and having from the impression I have thus received, no faith in his character, I would not be instrumental in his employment by

the Inam Commissioner in a sphere where trustworthiness is an essential.—

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

Political Agent Sind

Political Agents Office
Belgaon
5th July 1854
Compd [illegible]

Pol. 42/22

1834

Political Department

From, The Political Agent in the Southern Maratha Country

To, The Officiating Chief Secretary to the Govt Bombay

Dated 5th Feb

Received No. 67

Enclosure No.

Copy of his letter & Memorandum

Stating in detail the reasons of the Political Agent for thinking that Keshoo Rao the late Political Agent's Sheristedar should not be [illegible] the late [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] compensation [illegible] [illegible]

Copied by [illegible]
Compared by [illegible]

Translation of a Note said to have been addressed
7452 by Vishnoo Rughvonath late Mamleddar of
Gokak to the Assistant Political Agent's
Moonshee Keshuw rao Gopal Bagayeetkur.

Shree

A.C.

There is not yet a man of Uthnee.
Take early measures and write to me
If Bapoo Shastree Wuidya be willing to
come here, send him. A man of the
Christian religion has urgently spoken
to me regarding Uthnee. I therefore request
you will take early steps and send to
me. The case will reach you. I request
you to read the whole report, which will
convince you. On my part I have
accomplished or fabricated
the job. It rests with
you hereafter to magnify
and to bring it to a conclusion. You already
know of a compliment once received from
the Sudur Adawlut. Let this be known.

× This "[illegible]" "Bujavile" can be also read Bunavile which means fabricated.

(True Translation)

[signature]

Political Agent
Sind.

Comp^d ABL

(True Copy)

Political Agent

2/3/.

No. 45 of 1852

From J. D. Inverarity Esq.
Political Agent in the S.M.C.

To E. P. Hown Esq.
Assistant Political Agent Sur.

Sir, Dated 25. March 1852.

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter (the original of which is with me) said to have been lately addressed by Vishnoo Rughonath Mamletdar of Gokak to your Shereistadar Kesoo Rao Bagayuth relative among other things to some contemplated arrangement connected apparently with his succession to the Uthnee Mamlut. If this be a true document of which there seems to be little doubt the confidence that exists between your Shereistadar and the Mamlutdar of Gokak, is not of a nature to conduce to the furtherance of the public interests.

I have the honor to be
&c. &c. &c.

P. A. O. Belgaon
25 March 1852

Signed J. D. Inverarity
Poll Agent S.M.C.

No. 78 of 1852

From E. P. Down Esq.
Assist. Poll. Agent Smt.

To J. D. Inverarity Esq.
Political Agent Smt.

Sir Dated 2d April 1852.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 25 Ultimo No. 115, inclosing copy of a letter said to have been addressed by Vishnoo Bagoonath Mamlutdar of Gokak to my Moonshee Kesoo Rao Bagayutkar

2. In reply, I have the honor to inform you that on the day the Murder case alluded in the letter, came before me, my Moonshee Kesoo Rao reported to me, that on the previous evening, one of the parties connected with the case had gone to his lodging in Sowjee, and informed him that he had in his possession a letter addressed to him (Kesoo Rao) by the Mamletdar of Gokak, which he said he had obtained from one of the Sepoys, sent up with the case, to whom it had been entrusted for delivery - and he, at the same time, threatened that unless Kesoo Rao used his influence with me to obtain the acquittal of the accused he would hand the letter over to the Political Agent The Mamlutdar on my questioning him on the

the Subject admitted that he had sent the letter and at the same time told me its contents, which correspond with those of the copy forwarded by you. There can be no doubt, therefore as to the genuineness of the document in your possession but from the following explanation, I am respectfully of opinion that it contains nothing prejudicial to the character as public officer of either the Mamlutdar of Gokak or my Moonshee Kesoo Rao

3 It appears that when I was in Belgaon in January last, Gunnespunt Kaley the Chitnee of the Revenue Commissioner Southern Division, mentioned to my Moonshee that Mr. W. Proctor's servant had gone to Uthnee on leave and had not returned within the stipulated time, & he, therefore, requested my Moonshee as he was proceeding into the Districts, to make inquiries about the man and if he found him to send him to Belgaon. he, however, did not meet him & when the Revenue Commissioner was at Koonoor in the Gokak Teloka Mr. Proctor mentioned the above circumstance to Vistuvo Rugonath the Mamlutdar & requested him to find out whether Kesoo Rao had met the man or not. The Mamlutdar, therefore, wrote the intercepted letter to Kesoo Rao on the

the subject from this it would appear, and there is no reason whatever to doubt its truth, that the Uthuee Mamlutdar (? man) was a servant of Mr. Proctors and the Christian Mr. Proctor himself Head clerk in the office of the Revenue Commissioner S.D. and that you were, therefore, in error in supposing that the passage in the letter alluded to any contemplated arrangement with regard to the succession of either the Mamletdar or Moonshee to the Mamlut of Uthuee.

4. In the remaining part of the letter the writer mentions that he had taken a great deal of trouble in the preparation of the case of Murder, and expresses a wish that my Moonshee would being his conduct to my notice - and adds that he had already received a compliment from the Sudder Adawlut.

5. This, I think, can hardly be considered blameworthy - I have no doubt similar letters are written very frequently - I was certainly of opinion that he had conducted the inquiry in an able manner and was the means of bringing to justice the perpetrators of an atrocious crime - And in my proceedings I stated that I considered his zeal deserving of commendation.-

6. Under the above circumstances, I am of opinion, that there is nothing in the letter to shew

that

that a confidence exists between my Moon-shee and the Mamletdar of a nature likely to impede the furtherance of the public interests. Should you, how ever be of a different opinion, I hope you will remove my Moonshee from his present situation and appoint another man on his place.

I have &c &c &c

Signed/ E. P. Down
Asst. Poll. Agent
Sind

S. P. Agent's Office
Camp Tasgaon
2d April 1852.

No. 135 of 1852.

The Assistant Political Agent is requested to state when the Uthree Mamlutdar Sheshgeerao Soobajee was a Servant of Mr. Proctors as stated in his 3d para:-

Signed/ J. D. Inverarity
Poll. Agent

Belgaon
10 April 1852

No. 84 of 1852.

The Assistant Political Agent has the honor to state that "Uthree Mamlutdar," by mistake of the copyist, was written for "Uthree man" in the 3d para. and the error

error was overlooked by the Assistant Political Agent - the Sentence should run thus - that the "Uthmer Man" was a Servant of Mr. Proctors, and the "Christian Mr. Proctor himself Head clerk in the Office of the Revenue Commissioner S. D." -

Signed/ E. P. Down
Asst. Poll. Agent
Sml

A. P. Agent's Office
Camp Yerrior
19 April 1852.

(True Copies)

[illegible]
Political Agent
Sml

Compd. A.B.S.C.

4/ Memo: 103.

19th June 1854.

On the 21st May 1853 the Head Accountant prepared from Karathee the Contingent bills for April 1853 and sent the same to me. In examining the items it struck me that some of them were overcharged and that vouchers were necessary to shew that the sums were actually disbursed.

In the Assistant Political Agent's bill a charge was made for 3 yards of Moozajur (silk cloth) at Rs 2 per yard, which, considering high I called upon the Dufturdar to shew me the merchant's bill. He made a reference to one of the Carcoons and told me that no bill was taken, but that the cloth was brought by a Pron named Russool and that the amount charged was correct.

I then enquired of the Pron from whom the purchase was made; he said that he did not know the persons name but that he would bring him to me. The Dufturdar directed him to bring the man to which I objected and sent my own Pron to fetch him.

In the mean time I asked the Head Carcoon of the Assistant Political Agent's Office whether any voucher was taken for the article; he said there was none but that the rate was settled in the presence of the Assistant Political Agent and that the above amount was accordingly paid, and declared that there was no overcharge.

When the merchant was brought I enquired of him whether he had sold the cloth ~~at the~~ rate

rate; he stated that it was sold by him at Rs 1.15.0 per yard. This I immediately made known to the Collector and Political Agent who directed me to take the necessary depositions and report the result to him.

The Carcoons of the Assistant Political Agent's Office objected to appear before me but I insisted on them to do so. Just as I had finished taking the deposition of the merchant, the Assistant Political Agent, who was then sick at home, sent me a letter of which the following is a copy.

As I am informed that you have commenced "an enquiry into the circumstances connected with the "purchase by me a short time ago of certain articles required for the use of the Assistant Poll. Agent's Office "and have directed the attendance at your office "of certain of my subordinates, I have the honor "to request that you will immediately inform "me (no reference whatever having been made to "me on the subject) whether you are acting on your own authority in this matter or under "the instructions and orders of the Political Agent

I wrote immediately to say that I was acting under the instructions of the Political Agent.

Being informed that the Assistant Political Agent would attend the Office the following day and make the necessary enquiries into the matter, I refrained from taking any depositions from the subordinates of that Office, but simply made my

report

report of all the circumstances to the Collector and the Political Agent on the 21st May 1853.

On the 23rd May 1853 the Poll Agent requested the Assistant Political Agent to submit his Carcoon explanation of this overcharge.

On the 8th June 1853 the Assistant Political Agent submitted his Carcoon Rungraos explanation in English as follows.

He (Rungrao) sent the office Peon Russool "for the person who sold the silk cloth called "Moosagur who accordingly came. Mr. Down was "not then in the Office. He struck a bargain "with the merchant for 2 Rs. a yard in the "presence of other individuals and kept the cloth "in the Office intending to shew it to Mr. Down "and obtain his sanction to the bargain - the "merchant meanwhile returning home. When Mr. "Down came to office, the cloth was shewn to "him and he ordered 3 yards to be bought @ "Rs. 2 per yard. The amount, Rupees 6, was "accordingly sent by Rungrao by the hands of "Russool Peon to the merchant. Russool paid "the money in the presence of certain persons, "but the merchant on being required to give "a receipt for the amount stated that he "could not write Marathee, but that he would

get some body to write out one by and eye. The Peon went twice afterwards, but the merchant put off giving a receipt on the plea that he did not know how to write.

On the 9th June 1853 the Political Agent passed the following decision.—

Kungrao will make good the overcharge and is warned that his explanation is altogether unsatisfactory, and that the repitition of such law conduct will result in his discharge from the service"

When the Deputy Magistrate made the inquiry the Dufturdar said that no bill was taken but that the amount charged was correct.

When the Head Carcoon of the Assistt. Poll. Agent's Office was asked whether any voucher was taken for the article, he said there was none but that the price was settled in the presence of the Assistant Political Agent and the amount was accordingly paid and declared that no overcharge was made, by which it will be seen that both had not spoken the truth.—

(Signed) [illegible]

Deputy Collector & Magte

([illegible])

([illegible])

[illegible]

Translation of the Statement from Rookoomanjee Rao Venktesh dated 4 July 1854

On the 29th August last I was sent to you by Mr Inverarity Pol. Agent to take the depositions in the matter of the Petition of Bindajee Shreewas who had preferred charges against Vistnoopunt formerly Head Carkoon in the Assth Political Agent - One of the Charges preferred by the petitioner in one of his former petitions was to the effect that Vis[illegible] punt had been fined by Mr Anderson (formerly Assth Pol. Agent) the Sum of [illegible] Rupees - but that the order to the Treasury Department to credit this Sum to Govt from Vistnoopunts pay, which had been issued by Mr Anderson had never been dispatched to its destination.

About 2 or three months ~~[illegible]~~ previous to being deputed on the above duty when I had occasion to go to the Asst Pol. Agents office on some buisness I observed among the old Duftery which [illegible] arranged by some Carkoons

to order to the Treasury signed by Jno
Anderson, alluded to by the petitioner
I read it it was an order in the Treasury Dept
that Vistnoo punt was fined 5 Rupees
The arranges of the records could not
account for its not having been sent.
When I came to your office 2 months after-
wards I informed the Poll. Agent &
yourself of what I had seen and I was
ordered to search for this order in
questions - This I have done, but I have
not been able to find it. -

By your direction I have given
the above statement in writing. -

(Signed) Rookoo 4. Rub
Venktesh -

True Translation

[signature]

Asstt. Poll Agent Sl

No 7483

Copy of this letter and of its accompaniments to be sent to the Inam Commissioner with a remark that Government consider it will be better that Nesher Rao should not be employed by Mr Chapman to assist him in settling the Southern Mahratta Country Jagheerdars' claims to compensation.

2 The particular case before Government being thus disposed of these papers should be made over in original to the Political Department for further consideration. ~~that~~ The above instructions with regard to Nesher Rao are not to be interpreted as shewing that Government have formed any opinion favorable or otherwise as to his character.

3 The attention of the Revenue Commissioners Northern and Southern Divisions to be requested to the facts stated in Para 3 of our Honorary's Report, and a return of the incumbents of Office, who have not passed the required examination to be called for by them.

24/7/24

10

Resolution on a letter from the Political Agent S. M. C. No 167, of 5th July 1854, with accepts, stating his objections in detail against the employment of Kesheo Rao Gopal to assist Mr Chapman, assistant Inam Commissioner, in the settlement of the claims of the Sahagirdars of the S. M. C, to compensation on account of the abolition of Transit Duties.

Here enter Resolution

No 3541 of 1854.

T. D. R.

To The Political Agent, S. M. C.+

No

To The Inam Commissioner,
with copy of Mr Inverarity's letter & of its accept.

No

Extract Para: 3 to the Revenue Comr N. D.
with Extract Para: 3 of Mr Inverarity's letter.

No

Do to the Actg Revenue Comr S. D.
with Do.

August 1854

+ Note. The necessary communications have been made to the Political Dept, the two Rev Comrs, and the Inam Comr.

o Note. A copy of this Resolt has been sent to Mr Inverarity, and the necessary communications made to the Pol. Dept & the two Rev. Comrs.

Resolution

112

4282

Revenue Cars 1854

at 31st August 1854

No 7481 @ 86

No 3642

Resolution
2 Aug ~~July~~ 1854 } Ordered that the papers noted in the margin be transferred, in original, to the Political Department, for the purpose stated in Para: 2 of the Govr. Resolution of this date No 3641, with a request that they be returned to the Revenue Dept., when no longer required.

Rev. Comp 937/54 - Letter from the Polt. Agent S.M.C. No 167, d/5th July 1854, with accept. Resolt. on the above, No 3641 d/ 6/54

TOTAL EXPOSURES

40

END

START

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA, MUMBAI.

NAME OF RECORD : **REVENUE** DEPTT. VOL.

NO :

YEAR : 18[illegible]

EXPOSED BY : ANIL SAWANT

DATE : [illegible]/05/2008

REV. DEPT.
VOL. 166
1854

1854.

No. 1431. R. D

Transit & Town Duties

Ahmednuggur.

Suddaseo bin Dhondjee and other Pansura

Former proceedings - "Comp; 1792 of 1853.

To,

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Bombay

The Humble Petition of

Sudaseowe Dhondjee and

Ewer Pansaras inhabitants

of Ahmednuggur

Most Humbly Sheweth,

That in October 1837 Government abolished the transit duties, and thinking the Petitioners who enjoyed them, undergoing a great loss had the kindness enough to furnish them with a bond for Rupees 1060, entitling them to receive 106 Rupees annually as interest. This boon they enjoyed until the year 1852 uninterruptedly when on a sudden Government demanded back the bond, which they instantly forwarded, and received a one in lieu of it for 491 Rupees only. The reason of this they being quite at a loss to imagine, your petitioners had in July last presented a petition to Government requesting

them

them to restore to your petitioners their former
bond Rupees 1160 but they regret to see
that no answer has yet been sent to them either
in affirmative or negative - how fortunately
since they have a patron like you, who has
at his heart the desire of ameliorating the
distressed Condition of the poor natives, they have
every hope of their former bond being restored
to them which they think is unlawfully taken.

When the Thulmode Thulbhureet
or Tolan duties were put a stop to Consequent
on the act 19th passed to Government in 1844 Govern
ment granted your petitioners a bond for
Rupees 2153-13-8 for their previlege on the said
duties as Pansarray deducting their Claim on goods
brought from the sea coast which they used
to enjoy.

This they also think a piece of
injustice done to them as above since Govern-
ment do not show on what grounds they
have shut them (your petitioners) out

from

from enjoying their claim on those goods excep[illegible]
a chance preceding the said 19th act, in which
they see that nothing is said regarding this clai[illegible]
your petitioner therefore humbly hope that
your Lordship in Council would be so good as
take this circu[illegible]
deration and grant them redress.

The c[illegible]se of presenting this petition
to direct to Government that [illegible]
was received by the said Government.

and your petitioners [illegible]
bound ever prey.

Ahmednuggur
10th August 1854

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] no answer has yet been sent to them either
[illegible] or negative. [illegible] fortunately
[illegible] they have a patron like you, who has
[illegible] the desire of ameliorating the
[illegible] Condition of the poor [illegible]atives, they have
[illegible] hope of their former [illegible] being restored
them which they think is unlawfully taken.

When the Thulmode Thulbhureet
Town duties were put a stop to consequent
the act 19th passed to Government in 1844 Govern-
ment granted your petitioners a bond for
[illegible]pees 215-13-8 for their prevelege on the said
duties as Pansarray deducting their claim on goods
brought from the sea coast which they used
to enjoy.

This they also think a piece of
injustice done to them as above since Govern
ment do not show on what grounds they
have shut them (your petitioners) out

from

from enjoying their claim on those goods except
a chance preceding the said 19th act, in which
they see that nothing is said regarding their claim
your petitioner therefore humbly hope that
your Lordship [illegible] would be so good as
take this circumstance into your kind consi-
deration and grant them redress.

The case of presenting this petition
to direct to Government that the above [illegible]
was received by the said Government.

and your petitioners as in duty
bound ever prey

Ahmednuggur
15th August 1854

१ [illegible]

१ [illegible]

१ [illegible]

१ [illegible]

१ [illegible]

The petitioners say that they have received no reply to their petition of 28th July 1863. The reply is dated 20th October 1863 No. 6163. The Post Master General is requested to ascertain & report what has become of the Packet.

Sept/54 [illegible]

No. 9 Sept/54

Extract by

53.

No. 4167 of 1854

T. D. R.

Memo.

The Offg Chief Secretary to Govt. presents compliments and requests the Post Master General will have the goodness to ascertain and report what has become of a Packet addressed as per margin, and posted at the General Post Office on the 20th October 1853

Sadasseo bin Dhondjee & other Pansurays of the City of Ahmednuggur

B. C.
9 Sept/54

Recd 28 Aug 54

The petitioners say that they have received no reply to their petition of 21st July 1853. The reply is dated 20th October 1853 No. 1163. The Post Master General is requested to ascertain & report what has become of the Packet.

5 Sept/54 Offg Chief Secy

Sd 9 Sept/54

Initially

No. 4167 of 1854

T. D. R.

Memo.

The Offg Chief Secretary to Govt. presents compliments and requests the Post Master General will have the goodness to ascertain and report what has become of a Packet addressed as per margin, and posted at the General Post Office on the 20th October 1853.—

Andaraseo bin Khondjee & other Pansurays of the City of Ahmednuggur

B. C.
9 Sept/54

No. 1972 of 1854

General Department

Memorandum

The Post Master General in presenting compliments to the Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, begs to state in reply to his Memo No. 4167 of the 9th Instant, that the letter for Sadassiva Dhoney at Ahmednuggur Post Office cannot be traced.

Bombay
General Post Office
22 September 1854

Duncan Anderson
Post Master General

Recd 28th Sept 54 5761.

From the Post Master General
No 1972 dated 22d September 1854
Relative to the missing letter
for Suddassee Dhondjee of Ahmed
nuggur.

A copy of the Govt. reply
No 6163 dated 20th Oct. 1853.
1763
to be furnished to the
Petitioners

[signature]

4 Sep/54 Offg. Chief Secy.
Issd 6th Octr/54

No. 4598 of 1854
T. D. Rev.

To

Sudaseo Wd. Dhondjee
and other Pansarias.
Ahmednuggur.

In reply to your Petition dated the 15th August last, I am directed to furnish you with a Copy of the Govt. Answer ~~reply~~ to your former Petition dated 25th July/53.

No. 6163-
20th Oct/53-

B. C.
6 October 1854

TOTAL EXPOSURES

14

END

START

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA, MUMBAI.

NAME OF RECORD : **REVENUE** DEPTT. VOL.

NO :

YEAR :

EXPOSED BY : ANIL SAWANT

DATE :

~~6641~~ 6572

~~6580~~

1855

Revenue Depar

Vol 126

1855.

No. 68 [illegible]

R. D.

Lands

Belgaum

Chain Veerappa bin Roodrappa Googwad.

Former proceedings – Comp; 1802 of 1854.

No. 11 of 1855

From, W. H. Havelock Esquire
Acting Collector of Belgaum

To, H. W. Reeves Esquire
Acting Revenue Commissioner S. D.

Belgaum Collector's Office, Camp Hookerie, 6th January 1855.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 4011 dated the 8th ultimo forwarding copy of Govt. resolution No. 157 dated the 22 idem I have the honor to append a Memo of the items of expenditure on the disputed field computed by the "Punchayet" to have been made by Chenveerupa Googwad.

Comt. [illegible]

Ploughing	117 . .
Breaking the clods &c.	32 8 .
Clearing single	20 .
Embankments	50 . .
	219 8 .

The disputed field is a large one of 54 acres and 8 goontas and the average cost of tilling so many acres would not be less than one hundred rupees.

2 Chenveerupa Googwad is a wealthy individual and the items defrayed in ploughing and subsequent breaking of the clods, are rather more than a Cultivator, would expend on the purpose

purpose, the clearing of the jungle is a matter of necessity, and may be safely included under the head of ordinary tillage, the only charge upon which doubts might arise, is that for embanking, in this case the field is entirely dry cropped, the embankments were of a temporary kind, and are stated distinctly by the Punchayet to have been washed away

3 I am respectfully of opinion that all the expenditure was of a kind which in ordinary cases would have been made in order to secure a good crop, that the work was more efficiently and more expensively performed than it might have been by a poor cultivator, but that no part of the work need be considered in the light of a permanent improvement of the land, and it may fairly be inferred that if the tillage was more expensive and efficient than ordinary the return was in some degree proportionate

I have the honor to be

Sir —

Your most Obd^l Servt

H Bandlick

Acting Collector

No. 104 of 1855.

Territorial Department

Revenue

Poona Dis. Camp Nimbooth

13th January 1855.

Wing Reeve

Acting Rev: Commissioner S.D.

Resn on a Memo: from the Acting Rev: Commr S.D. No. 104 dated the 13th January 1855.

Forwarding ~~for information~~ a further Report by the Acting Collector of Belgaon ~~dated the 10th January 1856~~ relative to the Petition from Chenveerapa bin Roodrapa Googwad.

(Here copy Resn)

No. 1093 of 1855.

Note
The Retr: has been referred to the Collr of Belgaum.

To the Revenue Commissioner S.D.

12 March/55

No. 1074

Resn
12 March 1855.

Chenveerapa bin Roodrapa Googwad

306.

Rec.d 19 July /54.

is to be referred from the Persian Department to the Collr of Belgaum, to whom the instructions of Govt on the subject of his Petition dated the 20th Novr 1853 will be communicated by the Rev: Commr S.D.

(A 134 dated the 23d Jany /54 Persian Depart.)

Copy
Curretjeel.
Copy

R. I No. 380

When Captn. W. C. Anderson Superintt. of the Rev. Survey & Assessr. S. M. Country, was making the settlement of Awurkod, Krishna Siddantee former holder in Inam of this Village & occupa of 17 numbers of Govt. land was absent, & the Koolkurnee Bhow alias Naro Nurhur Kalee appeared to answer for him, & produced his Receipt Book & the evidence of the surrounding Ryots & the Patel, to support his statement regarding his agency being authorized by Krishna Siddantee, who he stated had gone to a distant Village in the Chickodee Talooka on some private business The Koolkurnee agreed on behalf of Krishna, to the new rates with reference to 15 Nos, but relinquished two Nos on one of which (No. 10) the assessment was raised from Rs. 9 to Rs. 11 & the other (No. 12) from Rs. 2 to Rs 15. these two numbers another individual named Chun Veerapa Googwar agreed to cultivate & his name was consequently entered in the Laone Puttruk

2 Krishna Siddantee ~~having~~ subsequently represented that the Koolkurnee had, without his authority, relinquished ~~[illegible]~~ the two numbers held by him in Awurkod, & prayed that they might be restored to him, Captn. Anderson under instructions from the Revenue Survey Commissioner, wrote to the Collr. of Belgaum to put Krishna Siddantee in possession. Against this, the petitioner Chun Veerapa appealed to Govt.

3. The Actg. Collr. of Belgaum reported that he was of opinion that the land ought to be confirmed to the present occupant Krishna Siddantee to the exclusion of the claim of the petitioner Cheenveerapa, & that he saw no ground for interference in the decision passed by Captn. Wingate Mr. Havelock, however, observed that the enquiry instituted by the Mamlutdar of the Uthnee Talooka tended to shew that Petr. had very much exaggerated his expenditure+ on the

+ Rs 1500 as alleged by the Petr.

the land while in temporary occupation, & that the sum really expended was considered to be little more than 200 Rupees. The Actg. Rev: Commr. S. D. was of opinion that petitioners application should be negatived.

4. In reply to a reference made by Govt. for information as to whether the Rs. 200 were expended before or after Krishna Siddantee first laid claim to the land, & if after, at what stage of Capt. H. G. Anderson's proceedings, the Actg. Coll. of Belgaum stated that the field was assigned to Ptr. on the 18th June 1852, & that the land was again transferred to Krishna Siddantee under an order dated 11th June 1853, so that the expenditure may be fairly assumed to have been made during the year of authorized occupation by the Petitioner.

* "that Krishna Siddantee made an application to Capt. Anderson, in bar of the assignment on 24th June, or six days afterwards, & that Capt. Anderson then gave notice to Chenveerappa not to cultivate the land until he should have considered Krishna Siddantee's application; that Capt. Anderson passed a decision, confirming her first assignment on 22nd of July the following month."

5. Mr. Havelock further stated that the sum of Rs. 200 was not intended to exhibit a real account of expenditure incurred by petitioner, but the estimate made by a Panchayet agreeably to their views of the cost of material & labour.

6. The following Resolution* by Govt. was communicated to the Actg. Rev. Commr. S. D.

"Govt. cannot consent (to dispose of this case) without being infd. whether Chenveerappa expended Rs. 200 or thereabout, on permanent improvement of the land, such as digging, Wells, embanking &c. &c. or merely for ordinary tillage, for which he obtained a return in first year's crop.

2 In other words Govt. have to determine whether the Rev. Survey Commr. ought not to have required Krishna Siddantee to make good to Chenveerappa the whole or part of the cost of improvement."

* No. 5587 of 22nd Decr. 1854

With reference to the above Resolution the Actg. Rev. Commr. S. D. submits a letter from the Actg. Coll. of Belgaum, in which Mr. Havelock writes "I have the honor to append a Memo. of the items of expenditure on the disputed field, computed by the

* No. 11 dated 6th Jany. 1855

"the "Punchayet" to have been made by Chenveerupa Googwad.

"Ploughing	117.
Breaking the Clods &c	32. 8-
Clearing Jungle	20 - " - "
"Embankments	50 - " - "
	219. 8-

"The disputed field is a large one of 54 acres & 8 "goontas & the average cost of tilling so many acres "would not be less than 100 rupees.

"2 Chenveerupa Googwad is a wealthy individual "& the items defrayed in ploughing & subsequent breaking "of the clods, are rather more than a Cultivator, would "expend on the purpose, the clearing of the Jungle "is a matter of necessity, & may be safely included "under the head of ordinary tillage. the only chance "upon which doubts might arise, is that for em-"banking, in this case the field is entirely dry "cropped, the embankments were of a temporary "kind, & are stated distinctly by the "Punchayet" "to have been washed away.

"3 I am respectfully of opinion that all the "expenditure was of a kind which, in ordinary "cases, would have been made, in order to secure "a good crop, that the work was more effici-"ently & more expensively performed, than might "have been by a poor cultivator, but that no "part of the work need be considered in the "light of a permanent improvement of the land, "& it may fairly be inferred that if the tillage "was more expensive & efficient than ordinary, "the return was in some degree proportionate"

Resolution No 2377

On the understanding that the Crops of the fields in question were entirely

tirely appropriated by the Petitioner
Sun-veerapa, Government cannot
consider him entitled to compensation
for the ordinary tillage expenses.

Ill 7 M

8/3/55

Mr. 12 March/55

Revenue Cons 1855

p 2375 @ 79

Copied in the Diarer by
Cowasjee Nusserwanjee
Compared by
Manockjee J.

1853

No. 781.

R. G.

Lands

Belgaum

Collection of rent or interest attached under the provisions of Rule 7 Schedule A of Act XI of 1852

entirely appropriated by the Petitioner Cheen-veerapa, Government cannot consider him entitled to compensation for the ordinary tillage expenses.

[illegible] 7 M

8/3/55

[illegible] 12 March/55

[illegible] 1855

p 2375 (a 74)

Copied in the Diary by [illegible]

Compared by [illegible]

1856.

No. 781.

R. D.

Lands

Belgaum

Collection of rent or interest attached under the provisions of Rule 7 Schedule A of Act XI of 1852

No. 4311

Dated 4th April 1855,
At Belgaum

From,

Captain M. F. Gordon,
Inam Commissioner &c.

To,

H. Young Esquire,
Officiating Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government the subject of the following correspondence relative to land or interest attached under Rule 7 of Schedule A of Act XI of 1852.-

2 On the 31st March 1855, I addressed a Letter to the Collector of Belgaum as follows-

No. 4306

With reference to the clause in Rule 11 of "Schedule A of Act XI of 1852 which provides "that the rents collected from the land [attached under Rule 7 of the same Schedule] "during its attachment shall in no case be "restored to the alleged Proprietor except "under the general or special instructions of "Government," I have the honor to request that

that you will be so good as to inform me "whether it does not occasionally happen "that no rents are collected from the Land "during its attachment, and that in these "instances the alleged Proprietor escapes "the penalty" to which he has rendered him-"self liable by failing to comply with the "provisions of Rules 5 and 7 of the Act refer-"red to.-

"2 Should the above supposition have "any foundation in facts within your know-"ledge may I request to be favored with your "opinion, as to whether it would not be pos-"sible in every case in which the clause referred "to of Rule 11 cannot be literally carried out "to act up to the spirit of that Clause the "object of which is evident."

3 In his reply - No. 302 dated 2nd April 1855, the Collector says.-

"I have the honor to acknowledge the "receipt of your letter No. 4306 dated the 31st "Ultimo and to inform you that whenever an "order for attachment of land under Rule "7 of Schedule A of Act XI of 1852 is issued "after the 31st July and is reported before "the 15th December following the rent of

"the

"the land is not realized because the "instalments of Revenue the first of which "is due on the latter date, all close on "the former date, when the Revenue year "includes. I see no reason why in such "cases the Revenue for the land should not "be collected in the proportion which the "period of attachment may have to the "whole year and I think it would be ex-"pedient and just to require payment of "the same. It will however I imagine be "necessary to procure the sanction of Go-"vernment thro' the Revenue Commissioner "for the adoption of this practice, and I "would suggest that you apply for it, "or if you prefer it I will do so."

4. I respectfully hope therefore that for the reasons stated by Mr Bell it will appear to Government expedient to order that whenever any land or interest is attached under Rule 7 of Schedule **A** of Act **XI** of A.D. 1852 after the 31st July and released before the 15th December following, the revenue of the land or interest shall be collected in the proportion which the period of such

attachment.

Recd 9 April/55 1900

attachment may have to the whole year.—

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obt. Servant

Wm. F. Gordon Captain

Inam Commissioner S.D.

From Inam Commissioner S.D.
No. 4311 dated 4th April 1855.
Submitting for the orders of Government Correspondence regarding land or interest attached under Rule 7 of Schedule A of Act XI of 1852.

Copd. Eshwantrao —

R I No. 1900

The Inam Commit. I D submits a correspondence with the Coll. of Belgaum, relative to land or interest attached under Rule 7 of Schedule A of Act XI of 1852, and suggests that whenever any land or interest is attached under the above Rule, after the 31st July and released before the 15 Dec. following, the revenue of the land or interest shall be collected in the proportion which the period of such attachment may have to the whole year.

No 3833

Resolution

His Lordship in Council authorizes the course proposed by the Collector of Belgaum to be followed in collecting rent or interest attached under the provisions of Rule 7 Schedule A of Act XI of 1852.

E. 1. Apr 20

Wh. 23 April

24/4/55

Spr. 28 April /55.

Resn on a Letter from the Inam Comm S.D. No 43 11 of the 4th April 1855 relative to a suggestion made by the Coll of Belgaum with regard to the Collection of rent or interest attached under the provisions of Rule 7 Schedule A of Act XI of 1852.

(Here enter Resn)

No. 1774 of 1855
I.D.R.

Note
A Copy of Captn Gordon's Letter & of this Resn has been sent to Captn Cowper

To the Inam Comm. S.D.

No

To the Inam Comm N.D. with a copy of Captain Gordon's Letter.

28 April/55.

[illegible]
Secy

1855.

No. 1543.

R. O.

Lands

Belgaum.

Nurso Goornath Mujutikur.

1855.

No. 1543. R. D.

Lands

Belgaum.

Nurso Goornath Mujulikur

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA, MUMBAI.

NAME OF RECORD : **REVENUE** DEPTT. VOL.

NO :

YEAR : 1905

EXPOSED BY : ANIL SAWANT

DATE : [illegible]

1900

Revenue Cons 1855

a/1st May 1855

No 3831 C 34

Copied in the Diary by
Ramshunder N.
Com'd by [illegible]

Copy by
Carnegie
Compy
[illegible]

1855.

No. 1543.

R. D.

Lands

Belgaum.

Nurso Goornath Mujutikur

1855.

No. 1543.

R. D.

Lands

Belgaum.

Nurso Goornath Mujutikur.

No 7

The Right Honorable John Lord Elphinstone
President and Governor in Council
Bombay.

The Humble Petition of
Nurso Goornath Muju-
-tikur Inhabitant of Tala
Chikodee in the Zillah of
Belgaum.

Humbly sheweth,

That about twelve years ago one
Dunmuntrao Nimbalkur Serlasekar borrowed
and received from Your Petitioner the sum of
Company's Rupees 4,500 four thousand and five
hundred and in security of that sum mort-
-gaged his Enam Wuttun of Naiguee in the
city of Sholapore in Zillah Belgaum by a bond
on stamp paper duly signed by him and at-
-tested by witnesses. The Enam being in your
Petitioner's possession and the fact of the mor-
-gage was well known and proclaimed by the
Wuttundar to the inhabitants of Sholapore
and therefore the cultivation of the soil and other
matters relating to the Wuttun have been ex-
-clusively and uninterruptedly managed by
Carcoons employed by your Petitioner for the
last twelve years.

That recently the mortgagor sent his men to prevent your Petitioner's Carcoons doing or having any thing to do with the Wuttun and therefore your Petitioner applied for relief to the Assistant Collector who issued an order to the Mamlatdar to the effect that your Petitioners Carcoons should be allowed and continued to have the management of the Enam just as had been carried on by them for and during the last twelve years, and that who ever might obstruct them in so doing, be taken into custody and a general intimation of it was given to the inhabitants of that place when the mortgagor's Carcoon left the town and thus the management was left into your Petitioner's hands as theretofore

That mean while one Bhimrao Ganlatesh Galghutgeekar the Kholapore Killahdar, who had been dismissed for an offence from his appointment and had also advanced a certain sum of money to Kunmuntrao upon the mortgage of his Enam Village of Doogewadie in Ra it Raibag in the Killah of Kolapore, deceived your Petitioner by the following stratagem — He came to your Petitioner one day and complained that Kunmuntrao is a wrangler and often disputes with him and would do the same with your Petitioner and requested that as he was unemployed your

Petitioner

Petitioner would kindly give him (Bhimrao) the management of the soils mortgaged to your Petition and a power of Attorney that should Hunmuntrao dispute he might be able at once, to sue him and serve him right. After much entreaty and by constant requests and assurances that he would regularly pay to your Petitioner the revenues of the lands held by him in mortgage, your Petitioner was thus constrained to and did at at length execute a power of attorney and gave to the said Bhimrao Venkatesh a letter address to the inhabitants of the place, directing them to pay him all moneys which might be due to your Petitioner on account of Revenue.

That no sooner Bhimrao went with this letter there than the inhabitants came up to your Petitioner complaining that they were on bad terms with Bhimrao and expressing their desire to pay the revenue to your Petitioner himself or any other of his Carcoons. Your Petitioner accordingly informed Bhimrao of this circumstance who without replying to your Petitioner went to Hunmuntrao and obtained from him a power of Attorney authorizing him to sue for and recover the revenues of the lands and Enamgrounds and complained to the Assistant Collector that your Petitioner obstructed from recovering the revenues of the villages whereof he had had the sole management

nd power to get in the rents. Upon this the Assistant Collector referred the matter of the complaint for investigation to the Mamlatdar upon whose summoning your Petitioner attended the Mamlatdar's Cutchery, produced the mortgage deed and satisfactorily proved that the management of the Enam was conducted by him without restriction for twelve years and also informed that Officer of the circumstances under which the power of attorney was given to Bhimrao and also that the inhabitants did not accept the paper and the whole of these proofs with his own report thereon were sent by the Mamlatdar to the Assistant Collector. Upon this the Assistant Collector being misinformed at once issued orders that the management and every thing else concerning these Enam lands should be placed in the hands of Bhimrao against which order Your Petitioner appealed to the then Collector but received no redress or reply from him and therefore presented a second petition to the Collector without any effect whatever. After this two other applications were sent in to the Collector by Post but in vain. Your Petitioner then sent in an application to the Revenue Commissioner from whom he received a reply stating that

he would entertain the bottom. Your Petitioner would shew him the decision of the Hon'ble in the matter which however your Petitioner could not evidently do as he had none and therefore he has now no recourse open to him save to apply to your Lordship in Council.

Your Petitioner therefore humbly prays that your Lordship in Council may be pleased, the premises considered, to direct the Collector of Belgaum to investigate the matter thoroughly and cause the revenues which Bhimrao Venkatesh has hitherto improperly received on account of the Inam to be given to the proper person entitled thereto viz. your Petitioner and the value of the harvests belonging to him and sugar canes planted by him for the said Bhimrao absolutely sold the same and appropriated the proceeds thereof to his own use, to be also refunded

[illegible] 9 Decr [illegible] to your Petitioner and such other orders as the nature and justice of the case may warrant as this is an appeal against the decision of the Revenue Commissioner. And your Petitioner as in duty bound well ever pray.—

[illegible]

Nurso Goornath Mujuk kur

Kusbey Mujutee
in Jallooka
Chikodee in
Belgaum Zillah
11th Novr 1854

Revenue Cases 1855

MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition is returned to the writer, in consequence of it not having been [illegible] in conformity to the Rules published by Government under date 23rd May 1854.

2. Copy of the Rule infringed is enclosed.

Dec. 1854.

Acting Secretary to Govt.

RULE X.

The Government, however, will not receive a Petition on any matter, less it shall appear that the Petitioner has already applied to the chief Local Authority, and, where such exists, to the Controlling Authority. The Petitions to the chief Local and to the Controlling Authorities, or copies of them, and the answers to or orders upon those Petitions, *in original*, must be annexed to all Petitions addressed to Government.

The Right Hon'ble John Lord Elphinston
G. C. H. President & Governor in
Bomb

The humble petition of
Goornath Mujectiker Inha
of the Talooka Chicodee
Zillah of Belgaum

Most humbly Showeth,

Your Lordship's petitioner respectfully
to submit, for the information of Government,
copies of applications to the Collector of Belgau
his Assistants and the Revenue Commissioner
together ~~with letters~~ from the Maumaladar of
Chicodee Talooka, stating that he (the Maumal
has been ordered by the Assistant Collector to
inform your petitioner, that any dispute, your peti
has to make, should be referred to the Sewanee
Audaulut for decision in the matter of your peti
case, and also two replies from the Revenue Com
missioner, stating that your petitioner should, i
the first instance, represent his case to the
Collector, and obtain his answer and then ap
to him (the Revenue Commissioner) agreeably to
rules. Upon this your petitioner submitted his c
to the Collector to which no redress has as yet
been received.

Under these circumstances your petiti
humbly begs to state that no other alternative is left
him than to appeal to your Lordship in Council
the suggestion that his case may be referred b
Govt. to the Collector Mr. Bell with instruction
he should institute an enquiry in the matter
without the agency of others and final report

through the Revenue Commissioner to Govern-

Should Govt. be pleased to refer it to

Bell, your p[illegible] formed in reply

that he may take opportunity of producing the

original Documents with other proofs which are

in the file of the Mamlatdar of Chicodee in

support of his case before that authority when that

fortunate time of investigation arrives-

And your petitioner as in

duty bound shall ever pray

Belgaum

22nd February 1855.

[illegible]

Memorandum of Documents Submitted.

	Nature of Documents	Remarks
1	Letter from the Maumaladar of the Talooka Chicodee No. 342 dated 2nd September 1853 —	
2	An application to Mr. Collr. Inverarity dated 1st October 1853.	No reply recei
3	An application to Mr. Revenue Commissioner Reeves dated 24th December 1853	
4	Reply from Mr. Revenue Commissioner Reeves dated 27 Decr. 1853 No. 14 stating that your petitioner should in the first instance represent his case to the Collr. and obtain his answer and then apply to him	
5	An application to Mr. Havelock Assistant Collr. dated 3rd July 1854 —	No reply recei
6	An application to Mr. Shaw Second Assistant Collr. dated 3rd July 1854	No reply recei
7	An application to the Revenue Commissioner Mr. Reeves dated 14th July 1854	
8	Reply from the Revenue Commissioner Mr. Reeves dated 24th July 1854 stating that your petitioner should in the first instance, represent his case to the Collr. and obtain an answer and then apply to him.	
9	Petition to Govt. dated 9th November 1854 describing the nature of his claims which was returned with Secretary Tytler's memo dated 14th September 1854	

Note: Documents No. 2, 3, 4, will be delivered to the Collector as they are now in the possession of my Agent Baloji Venkatesh who is now in Bombay

made through the Revenue Commissioner to Government.

Should Govt. be disposed to refer it to Mr. Bell, your petitioner may be informed in reply that he may take opportunity of producing the original Documents with other proofs which are in the file of the Kaymaladar of Chicodee in support of his case before that authority when that fortunate time of investigation arrives.

And your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray

Belgaum
22nd February 1855.

[illegible]

Memorandum of Documents submitted.

	Nature of Documents	Remarks
1	Letter from the Maumaladar of the Taluka Chicodee No. 342 dated 2nd September 1853	
2	An application to Mr. Collr. Inverarity dated 1st October 1853	No reply recei
3	An application to Mr. Revenue Commissioner Reeves dated 24th December 1853	
4	Reply from Mr. Revenue Commissioner Reeves dated 27th Decr. 1853 No. 74 stating that your petitioner should in the first instance represent his case to the Collr. and obtain his answer and then apply to him	
5	An application to Mr. Hanlock Assistant Collr. dated 3rd July 1854	No reply recei
6	An application to Mr. Shaw Second Assistant Collr. dated 3rd July 1854	No reply recei
7	An application to the Revenue Commissioner Mr. Reeves dated 14th July 1854	
8	Reply from the Revenue Commissioner Mr. Reeves dated 24th July 1854 stating that your petitioner should in the first instance, represent his case to the Collr. and obtain an answer and then apply to him	
9	Petition to Govt. dated 9th November 1854 describing the nature of his claims which was returned with Secretary Tytler's memo dated 14th September 1854	

Note: Documents No. 2, 3, 4, will be delivered to the Collector as they are now in the possession of my Agent Baiajee Venkutesh who is now in Bombay

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

७८८

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2

No. 1001 of 1855.

Territorial Department, Re

Forwarded to the Revenue Commissioner S. D.

for Report (to be written below).

By order, &c.

H Young

Offg Chief Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
7th March 1855

No. 3044. of 1855.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

Poona Rev. Comr's. Office.
18th Decr. 1855.

In forwarding the appended Report, No. 797, of 25th Ultimo, with its several enclosures, from the Acting Collector of Belgaon, the Rev. Commr. S. D. has the honor to state that he sees no reason for interference with the decision of the Collector in Petioner's case.

Sort: Memo: No. 1001, of 7th March
on petition of Nurso Goornath
-jutikur, inhabitant of Talooka
:Kodee in the Belgaon Collectora

No. 753. of 1855.

Poona Rev: Comd.t Offi
10th March 1855

Referred for the Opin
And report of the Collector of
Belgaon.

Wimp Mine

Rev: Comd.t L.D.

797 of 1855

Belgaum Collector's Office
25th September 1855.

1 Chishore Mamuldar's report No. 130 dated 22 June 1852
1 Mr. Lockett 2d assistt Collector's Order No. 379 dated 9 July 1852
1 Mamuldar's reply to the above No. 333 d/22 do
1 Mr. Inverarity's Decision on an appeal from Nalinga Venkatesh d/10 Septr/52 No. 161
1 Translation of an agreement Bond d/27 Jany/52

In reply the Acting Collector has the honor to forward translations of proceedings in the case of the petitioner Nursoo Goornath Muzteekur as per cost in the Margin —

The main point for consideration appears to be, the difference between the nature of the document given by petitioner to Bheemrow Kulghutgee, as described by himself, and the nature of the said document as shewn in the accompaniment to this report. —

(Sort: Memo: No. 1001, of 7th March 1855
on petition of Nurso Goornath, Muz-
-jutikur, inhabitant of Talooka Chi-
-Kodee in the Belgaon Collectorate.)

No. 753. of 1855.

Poona Rev: Cond's Office
10th March 1855.

Referred for the Opinion
and report of the Collector of
Belgaon.

[signature]

Rev: Cond. L. D.

[signature]

797 of 1855

Belgaum Collectors Office
25th September 1855.

1 Chickore Mamlutdars
rep. of No 138 dated 22 June 1852
1 To Lockett 2 dated Collectors
Order No 379 dated 9 July 1852
1 Mamlut are of [illegible]
above No 131 of 22 [illegible]
1 [illegible] translation [illegible]
[illegible] from
[illegible] Sukhlee
[illegible] No 161
1 [illegible] of an agree-
ment Bond d/27 [illegible]

In reply the Acting Collector has the honor to forward translations of proceedings in the case of the petitioner Nursoo Goornath Muzteekur as per cited in the Margin.

2. The main point for consideration appears to be, the difference between the nature of the document given by petitioner to Bheemrow Kulghutgee, as described by himself, and the nature of the said document as shewn in the accompaniment to this report.

3. There is no doubt that the document in

question was not, as represented by petitioner, a power of attorney only without possession from [illegible] lf as the actual possessor of the land, but an agreement bond entered into by petitioner and Annajee Kasee that Bheem Row Kulghutgee should hold possession of the Naikee land and give a share of the surplus revenue after deducting expense of management, to the two individuals above named. —

4th In this agreement, translation of which is forwarded, the share of proceeds belonging to Bheem Row was specified as being eight annas or one half: that of petitioner and Annajee Kasee jointly four annas or one fourth, and the remaining fourth, the share of one Bussapa on the part of himself & Powadiappa Puttunshettee deceased. —

5th It appears that the land had been held in mortgage by the several parties conjointly and that the agreement bond under discussion was made in adjustment of the various claims of the parties: viewed as an instrument of conveyance, the document may not be a very regular one but under it the management and possession of the land under certain condition were transferred to Bheem Row. —

6th The said Bheem row obtained possession of the land under an agreement and the decision of Mr Lockett, 2d Assistant Collector, supported on appeal by the Collector 2d Inverarity, appears to the Acting Collector to be correct & in accordance with precedent in the Belgaum Collectorate, & petitioners' remedy appears to lie in action in the Court of Adawlut. —

W. H. [illegible]

Acting Collector

Comp. [illegible]

Pd. C: Pd.

2469

Translation of a Report No. 130 dated 22d June 1852. from Ballajee Aliajee Mamlutdar of Chickoree, to the address of H. B. Lockett Esqr., 2nd Assistant Collector of Belgaum.

In the Govt. Village of Solapoor of this Desirict, Hunmunt Rao Naik Nimbalkur, enjoys a piece of land in Enam on account of Naikee Office. The land is designated "Advee babut Setti", and has been cultivated by one Sutiana, Masteeholee. Of late the Enamdar having ordered one Bhurma Kalâ to undertake the cultivation of the said land, a dispute arose. The documents which form the subject of enquiry are as follows:

No. 1 Hunmunt rao Naik Nimbalkur, a letter from;
" 2 Sooltan Police Solapoor, Deposition of.
" 3 Sutia ben Apana Masteeholee, Deposition of;
" 4 Bhurma ben Kalâ Solapoor, Deposition of.
" 5 Ragho Apanjee nisbut Naik Solapoor, Petition of
" 6. Letter addressed by the Enamdar Naik, to Bheemrao Kulghutgee, Copy of.

From the Documents recorded above, it will appear, that the circumstance of the Case stands thus. At Cust. "Solapoor," Enamdar No. 1. enjoys a piece of land rent free, called "Advee babut Setti" it was cultivated by No. 3. who was in possession of the same up to the end of 1260. Fuslee. In 1261 Fuslee, the ensuing year, the Enamdar transferred the cultivation to one Bhurma No. 4., where fore a dispute originated, and the land was in consequence thrown

the actual possessor of the land, but an agreement bond entered into by petitioner and Annajee Kasee that Bheem Row Kulghutgee should hold possession of the Naikee land and give a share of the surplus revenue after deducting expense of management, to the two individuals above named. —

In this agreement, translation of which forwarded, the share of proceeds belonging to Bheem Row as specified as being eight annas or one half; that of petitioner and Annajee Kasee jointly four annas or one fourth, and the remaining fourth, the share of one Bussapa on the part of himself & Powadiappa Puttunshettee deceased. —

It appears that the land had been held in mortgage by the several parties conjointly and that the agreement bond under discussion was made in adjustment of the various claims of the parties: viewed as an instrument of conveyance, the document may not be a very regular one but under it the management and possession of the land under certain condition were transferred to Bheem Row. —

The said Bheemrow obtained possession of the land under an agreement and the decision of Mr Lockett, Assistant Collector, supported on appeal by the Collector Inveriarity, appears to the Acting Collector to be correct & in accordance with precedent in the Belgaum Collectorate, & petitioner's remedy appears to lie in action in the Court of Adawlut. —

[illegible]

Collector

[illegible]

2469

Pol: Pet:

Translation of a Report No. 130, dated 22nd June 1857, from Ballaji Atiaji Kamlutdar of Chickoree, to the address of H. B. Locke Esqr., 2nd Assistant Collector of Belgaum.

In the Govt. Village of Solapoor of this District, Hunmunt Rao Naik Nimbalkur, enjoys a piece of land in Enam on account of Naikee Office. The land is designated "Advee babut Seth", and has been cultivated by one Sutiana Mastecholee. Of late the Enamdar having ordered one Bhurma Kalâ to undertake the cultivation of the said land, a dispute arose. The documents which form the subject of enquiry, are as follow:

- No. 1. Hunmunt Rao Naik Nimbalkur, a letter of ...
- " 2. Soollan Polee Solapoor, Deposition of.
- " 3 Suha bin Apana Mastecholee, Deposition of.
- " 4. Bhurma bin Kalâ Solapoor, Deposition of.
- " 5. Ragho Apanjee nisbut Naik Solapoor, Petition of.
- " 6. Letter addressed by the Enamdar Naik, to Bheemrao Kulghutgee, Copy of.

From the Documents recorded above, it will appear, that the circumstance of the Case stands thus. At Cusba "Solapoor," Enamdar No. 1 enjoys a piece of land rent free, called "Advee babut Seth" it was cultivated by No. 3. who was in possession of the same up to the end of 1260. Fuslee. In 1261 Fuslee, the ensuing year, the Enamdar transferred the cultivation to one Bhurma No. 4., wherefore a dispute originated, and the land was in consequence

thrown waste.— No. 3, thereupon, preferred a complaint on the subject, and as he (No. 3) was in actual possession of the land up to the end of 1260 Fuslee, I (the Mamlutdar) issued an order on date the 9th December 1851, directing the Village Officers to uphold his possession.— Lately when I was absent from the Mamlutdar's Station, one Ragho Appanjee, on the part of No. 1, presented a Petition No. 5. to the Head Carcoon, who on date 17th April 1852 issued an order on the back of the Petition, directing the village Officers, not to offer any hinderance to the Enamdar in making over the land to any other party whom he liked, it having been thrown up.— The Enamdar also intimated by a letter, that No. 3. without paying any rent to him allowed the land to remain uncultivated for one year, and thus caused a loss to him.— that he (the Enamdar) therefore gave it for cultivation to No. 4.— But it does not however shew, that No. 3. purposely allowed the land to fall waste. it was chiefly owing to the hinderance of the Enamdar who acknowledges that the land was in the possession of No. 3. up to the end of 1260. Fuslee, and that it did not pass in the hands of the manager (Bheemrao) after it, which fact is further proved by the deposition of the Police No. 2, as well as from other documents. I have therefore given orders to maintain the possession of No. 3. agreeably to my former orders; but to these directions the Enamdar objects, saying that he can give the land to any party he chooses.— I now therefore report the matter to you for your instructions, and beg to know, whether the Enamdar may be permitted to do as he pleases, or that my former orders, which were issued on the tenure

of possession, may be confirmed. I shall act according your instructions on these points. the accompaniment are herewith enclosed. date 22d June 1852. Camp Kulol

(Signed) Balaje Atiaje
Mamlutdar

Translation of an Order No. 379 dated 9th July 1852 issued by H. B. Lockett Esqr. 2nd Assistant Collector, on a report from the Mamlutdar of Chickoree dated 22d June 1852. No. 130.

I perused the papers, which accompanied your report under acknowledgment, and also the enclosures to a Petition, which was received by Post, from Bheemrao Kulghutghee on the same subject

2 Sutiana Ryut, the party alluded to in your report, has deposed; that the land was first given to him for cultivation by Nana Muztee; to whom he paid its rent annually. Being desired by Nana to pay the rent hereafter to Bheemrao, he (Sutiana) passed a Kuboolayut in that individuals name, paid no rent to him as agreed upon; but that he afterwards, paid half of the produce to Nana, the crops on the land having sustained much injury. From this it appears, that Sutiana was wrong in paying the rents to Nana, and not to Bheemrao as agreed to by him. it was also not right in Sutiana to say, that the produce was given by him to Nana, who had no claim whatever on the land, after the passing of the Kuboolayut, and therefore to up-hold possession according to the terms of the said Kuboolayut

is

jurisdiction of the Civil Court.

In 1261 Tustee, Sutiana allowed the land to fall waste, and to this, he assigned as his reason, that one Bhurma Kalâ offered hinderance to cultivation. In your report, it is shewn, that the land was left unsown owing to the opposition of the Enamdar, but this assertion is of no use; for the party ought to have preferred his complaint, at the time, or within six months, of such hinderance being offered to him by Bhurma, and obtained redress notwithstanding this, it is useless to assign any reasons of opposition, after keeping the land from cultivation without any cause. From your report, it appears, that Sutia subsequently preferred a complaint, but it does not seem, that the party, after abandoning the land, was entitled to have any right to the land. your order upholding the right of the former incumbent, is therefore inconsistent, the party, if he chooses, may take the land for him, at the consent of the Enamdar, you have no reason to interfere in this matter, and to issue any order. you are to act up to these instructions, and to report below, the result of your proceedings. dated Belgaum 9th July 1852.

(Signed) H. B. Pockett.

2nd Assist. Collector.

No. 333 of 1852

The Mamluldar of Chickoree begs to report, that he has this day, issued a written injunction to the Village officers

Officers directing them to act up to the above orders dated 22nd July 1852.

Signed / Balajee Atiaje
Mamlutdar

Translation of an Order No. 161. dated 11th September 185 issued by J. B. Inverarity Esqre., Collector, on an appeal to his address from Nurso Goornath Muztee, dated 4th August 1852.

No. 161 of 1852.

To

Ballajee Venkutesh
nisbut Nurso Goornath Muzutee
Resident of Talooka Chuckoree

You represented by a Petition dated 4th August 1852, that the Naikee land belonging to the Nimbalkur, situated in the village of "Solapoor", was under your management, and was cultivated by one Sutiana, a Ryut on your part, that of late one Bheemrao Kulghutgee disturbed your possession, and made over the land for cultivation to Bhurma Kala another Ryut. On a reference being made on the subject, a reply dated the 23rd of last month, was received from the 2nd Assistant Collector from which, it appears, that the land in question was made over by you to Bheemrao, and that in consequence, a "Kuboolayet", to pay the rents to Bheemrao, was passed by Sutiana, by whom the land having been afterwards thrown waste, for

one

one year without bring it under cultivation, the Enamdar ordered Bhurma to take it up. This Department therefore cannot interfere in your Case.

Belgaum, 11th September 1852.

(Signed) J. P. Inverarity
Collector

True Translates

W H Hamilton
Acting Collector

Comp. C. Bgh

Translation of an agreement bond dated Soorsun
sheeday Khamsine Myatine Wa-aluf corresponding
with the 27th January 1851 passed by Nurso
Goornath Mujteekur and Annajee Kasee
Kochreekur to Bheem Row Appa Kulghaj
The Naikee Wutton situated in the Village of
Solapoor belongs to Shreemunt Rajeshree
Gunmunt Row saheb Nimbalkur Row Bhado
Surlushkur as sure Enam - you and the late
Mudeewaluppa Umblee and Powadappa Puttuns
these three persons together lent to the Row
saheb in consideration of the above Wutt
the sum of Rs. 4625 of the Company's curren
and obtained the whole of the Naikee Wutton
in Mortgage for the liquidation of the whole amo
contracted by debt and to complete the abov
sum you received from us the sum of Rupees 231
on date Shukay 1762 Soorsun sheeday arbin
Miatine Wa-aluf Poushia Wuddia 12th and a bon
on a stamped paper was passed both in
name and that of Annajee punt Kochera
and also a Memo: of stipulation was pas
on an unstamped paper according to the sti
lation matters went on quietly up to Sum Tee
Arbine Miatine Wa-aluf and because a diff
in regard to revenue derived from the Naikee Wutt

ar

arose, accounts from the commencement were examined through the medium of Rajeshree Babjee Punt Nadgowda of the Enam Village of Mudechullee and a separate Memo was framed and thro' the said Nadgowda a revised agreement regarding the future management of the Naikee Wutton was passed. formerly I gave you the sum of Rupees 2,312-8-- of the Company's currency on account of the above Wutton out of which I received back half of the amount Viz^t Rupees 1156-4-- The following are the terms in regard to the remaining sum of Rupees 1156 4-- received from us in connexion with the Naikee Wutton.—

Our share being 1/4 in the amount granted on account of the Naikee Wutton Viz Rupees 1156-4-- The amount formerly paid by you Viz Rs 1156-4-- together with the sum of Rupees 1156-4-- now received back from you make together Rupees (2312-8-) these amounts constitute your half share on account of the Naikee Wutton Rs 2312 8 - The amount of share formerly paid by the late Mudwaluppa thro' Bussuppa Umbree Viz Rupees 578 2 - and the amount of share paid by Pawadiappa Puttunshetter Viz Rupees 578-2 - make together Rupees 1156. 4 -- Total Rupees 4,625—

This amount is due on the Naikee Wutto
The following are the terms of the stipulations in
regard to the future management of the Wutton
effected through the said Nadgowda 1/2 share in the
above Naikee Wutton is yours and 1/4 share belo
to us and the other 1/4 to Bussapa Umblee in
the part of himself & Powadiappa Puttunshettee
deceased In this manner are the shares divid
and since you hold half a share you are to man
the affairs of the Naikee Wutton and collect the
revenues every year and after deducting from the
revenue the yearly Nemnooks and other expense
you must divide the remaining amount among
the other sharers according to their respective shares
and to receive yours according to your share. —

2 When the amount due from the Naikee
Wutton has been realized according to agreement
from the Surlushkur the profit should be divide
among the four sharers according to their respective
shares and in case of any loss accruing, it should
be borne accordingly. —

3. In case any hindrance is offered to the
management of the Naikee Wutton either by the
Surlushkur or the Company's Government
or should it be necessary to proceed any where

to get such matters settled such affairs should be done without loss of time with the consent of the four parties one party should not refer to another and if any party in such cases does not assist the other the wakeewatdar or the managing party should undergo the necessary expense attendant on such occasions and such expense the other parties should repay to the Wakeewatdar without loss of time —

As long as the Naikee Wattan which has been mortgaged in liquidation of debt remains in our possession you should manage the whole affairs thereof and you should shew us every year the accounts and after deducting the expenses from the realizations you should pay us our dividends according to our [illegible] share —

In the event of the nonfulfilment of the agreement on the part of the Surlushkur we delegate to you power this day by this letter to appear and plead for us before the Surlushkur the Agent or Judge's Court the Collector or Mamlutdar's Cutcherry as the case may be and get any difference that may arise regarding the document dated Shukhay 1762 Poushia Wuddia 12th settled we cordially agree to abide by any decision that you may obtain for us —

The

6. The original document regarding the Naikee Wutton formerly passed in our name on a stamped paper and the Memo of agreement in regard to the management of the Wutton passed on unstamped paper two together are in your possession and therefore if the surlushkur file any suit against us we authorize you by the above para: to plead for us. In case we will have to proceed on such business any where the expense attendant on such occasions should be defrayed by all the parties concerned according to their respective shares.—

7. The sum of Rupees 1156/- being our share due to us from the Naikee Wutton, we will receive from you after the same shall have been recovered by you from the surlushkur. We will not demand it from you in the interval.—

The above 7 paras of agreement are passed by us in our sound mind we will not raise any objections regarding them. Dated 26th Rubbe-lawul the 27th January 1851 Shukhay 1772. Sadhe-rana Nam sum waschur Poushia wuddia 11th. The word Eehaday enterlined in the second line is in our own hand writing.— The word "Ahé" in the 10th line is written

by

y us afterwards and therefore the letters are thick. Date above. The whole of the document is written in the hand writing of Dhondoo Ballajee Koolkurnee of the Village of Koornee.—

) Signed) Nurso Goornath Mujteekur in his own hand writing.

) Signed) Annajee Kassee of the Village of Kochuree written in his own hand writing

Witnesses.

1 Babajee Ambajee Nadgowda Talooka Chek

1 Bheem Ram Veerktesh Kulchutzee.

1 Jeewappa Rungolee of the Hookeree Pett

On a stamped paper to the value of Rs 8 date 28th August 1850.—

Nurso Govind Mujteekur

Talook Chickodee

True Translate)

[illegible]

Acting Collector

From a report made by the acting Coll^r of Belgaum, on a petition from Nursoo Goornath it appears that by an agreement entered by petitioner and Annajee Kasee, One Bheem Kulghutgee is to hold possession of the Naikee land of which petitioner complains he has been deprived, and to give a share of the surplus revenue, after deducting expense of management, to the petitioner and Annajee Kasee.

2 In this agreement, the share of proceeds belonging to Bheem Rao was specified as 8 annas or one half, that of petitioner and Annajee Kasee jointly 4 annas or one fourth, and the remaining fourth as the share of one Bussapa on the part of himself and Powadiappa Puttunshetee deceased.

3 Under these circumstances, the acting Coll^r reports that petitioner's remedy appears to lie in action in the Court of Wauhit, and the Rev. Comr. S.D. states that he sees no reason for interference in the case.

Resolution

The petitioner to be informed that Government will not interfere in his case.—

E Nov. 19
JM Nov 20
20/11
Issd 23 Nov/55.

Revenue Cont 1855

TOTAL EXPOSURES

52

END

START

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA, MUMBAI.

NAME OF RECORD : **REVENUE** DEPTT. VOL.

NO : 132/717, 271, 1267, 107, 758, 1385, 1109 & 272

YEAR : 1855

EXPOSED BY : ANIL SAWANT

DATE : 29/05/2008

6580
6573

1855.

Revenue Department

Lands.

Vol. 132.

1855.

No. 417.

R. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Brice Mr. A. G

No. 16 of 1855.

From

J. Ogilvy Esqre
Collector of Dharwar

To

H. W. Reeves Esqre
Revenue Commissioner S. D.
Dharwar Collector's Office
Camp Seegum,
5th April 1855.

Sir

I have the honor to transmit for your information the copy of a letter from Mr. Brice of the Firm of Messrs. Brice & Co. of Cochin dated the 17th ult. applying for a grant of the land included within the walls of the old Fort of Bunkapoor, subject to the annual payment of the average proceeds derived from the grazing of the land for the last four years, for the purpose of erecting thereon a Bungalow and Gin House for cleaning cotton. —

The land applied for contains 119 acres and has not hitherto been assessed. It has let for grazing on an average during the last four years for Rs. 577-8-0.—

3rd. It does not appear that there is any objection to grant to Messrs Brice & Co. the land applied for with the exception of about 10 acres in case it may hereafter be considered advisable to erect a Travellers Bungalow at Bunkapoor.—

4th. I would, therefore, beg to suggest that 109 acres of the land applied for should be granted to the applicant subject to the annual payment of Rs. 529-8-0.—

5th. I understand from Mr. Brice that his object in applying for the whole land situated within the Fort of Bunkapoor was to avoid danger from fire that would exist

were

were native houses allowed to be erected in the vicinity of his Establishment, but that he does not object to the reservation of a site for a Travellers Bungalow.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servt.

Collector

No. 1026. of 1855.

Territorial Department,
Revenue.

Poona Rev. Commrs. office
10th April 1855.

Forwarded for the sanction of Government.—

2. The Revenue Commissioner begs to propose that the whole 119 Acres of land, subject to an annual payment of

Rs.

~~Rs~~ 577. 8. –, be assigned to Mr Brice, on condition that he give up a sufficient quantity of it for a Traveller's Bungalow, should Government eventually decide upon building one, – a proportionate reduction being, in that case, made in Mr Brice's rental. –

[signature]
Rev Commissioner
S.D.

[signature]

From,

The Rev Commissioner S.D.
No. 1026 dated 10th April 1855

Forwarding for sanction a letter from the Collector of Dharwar, dated 5th April 1855 and accompaniment, relative to the grant of Kaw land at Bunkapoor to Mr Brice, subject to an annual payment of ~~Rs~~ 577.

Bunkapoor March 17th 1853

J. Ogilvy Esqre
Collector of Dharwar

Sir

On the 6th Instant Mr Lowry had the honor of applying in my name for sanction for a piece of land in the old Fort of this place whereon to erect a Bungalow and the gin house for working the cattle power machinery, the use of which has been allowed me by Government and which is now lying here ready for erection, he has not yet received a reply to his request, upon making further enquiries regarding the Fort he finds that the right of cropping the grass is annually purchased the renter last year paying Rs 595, the previous year Rs 610. No site in the town that I can find is adapted to our requirements and I have the honor to apply for a grant of the whole Fort subject to a rental based on the average

average returns of the past four years. The crop of cotton is now being picked and I am most anxious to redeem the time so unfortunately lost by my detention in Bombay owing to Mrs Brice's serious illness, may I therefore beg the favor of your early answer to this application.-

I have the honor &c.

(Signed) A. C. Brice

"True Copy"

[illegible]
Collector

S.H.C.
[illegible]

R. I No. 1959

Application from Mr Brice for a grant of the land, measuring 119 acres, included within the Walls of the old Fort of Bunkapoor, for the purpose of erecting thereon a Bungalow and Gin House for cleaning cotton.

2 The Colt of Dharwar recommends the grant, with the exception of about 10 acres which may necessarily be required for a Traveller's Bungalow. but the Rev. Comt. S. D. proposes that the "whole 119 acres of land, subject to an annual payment of Rs. 577-8- be assigned to Mr Brice, on condition that he give up any "sufficient quantity of it for a Traveller's Bungalow, should govt. eventually "decide upon building one - a proportionate reduction being, in that case "made in Mr Brice's rental -"—

Resolution No. 3798

A grant of the whole 119 acres may be made to Mr. Brice, subject to an annual rent of Rs. 577-8- it being stipulated he will give up any portion that may be required for a Travellers' Bungalow - a proportionate reduction in the annual rent being allowed, calculated on the general average rent per acre of the entire lot.

Eg Apr 21

Wh. 24 Apr

Dm 24/4/55

Sp. 28 April/5.

Copy by [illegible]
Compd by [illegible]

Resolution on a Memo. No. 1026 dated the 10th April 1855 from the Revenue Commissioner S.D., with accompaniments relative to an application from Mr. A.C. Brice for the grant of [illegible] Acres of land included within the Walls of the old Fort at Bankapoor in the Dharwar Collectorate subject to an annual rent of Rupees 577. 8 &c.

(Here copy Resolution)

No. 1754 of 1855
T.D. Revenue

To the Revenue Commissioner S.D.

28th April 1855

[illegible]
Secy.

Revenue Cons 1855

a/1st May 1855

No 3796 C 99

copied in Diary by
Hamilton
Compdly. N.C.

1855.

No. 241.

P. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Dor Fukeera bin Bhimappa Setsundee

Revenue Cmd 1855

a/1st May 1855

No 3796 @ 99

Copied in Order by
Hampshire
Company

1855.

No. 241.

R. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Dor Fukeera bin Bhimappa Setsundee

No. 992 of 1854

Persian Department.
29 November 1854.

Substance of a petition from Dor Fukeera bin Whimappa Set Sundee of Punoje Hasekuttee, Talooka Dharwar, to the Right Honble the Governor in Council dated 4 November 1854.—

A piece of Inam land for set sundee service in the above village, is entered in the Government account books in the name of my Kinsman Husappa. As he passed a writing to me to the effect that he was unable to perform the service & that I should render it and enjoy the piece of land. I served Government for 6 or 7 years & enjoyed the land.—Notwithstanding this, the Collector of Dharwar issued an order No. 34 dated the 10 August last to the effect that the party whose name was entered in the accounts should perform the service, that I should be deprived of my "Wuhiwat," and that the piece of land which I cultivated this year, should be made over to my opponent with the crops standing thereon.—The Collector gave the above order in opposition to Regulation XVI of 1838 and I therefore made a petition on the 21 August last to the Revenue Commissioner, requesting him to continue the existing state of things. On this the Revenue Commissioner called for a report whereupon the Collector gave order that I should perform the service this year, & receive the produce of the land & that from the next year my opponent should perform the duties & should hold the land. After issuing the above order the Collector sent

a reply to the Revenue Commissioner who approved of the same & did not give me a proper answer. A copy of the order No. 58 dated 12 October last which the Revenue Commissioner gave me is herewith forwarded for the information of your Lordship in Council.

There is a Government resolution to the effect that the Revenue Department shall not deprive a person of what he may have held for more than six months. I have been cultivating the land in question for the last 6 or 7 years under a writing executed by my opponent and which he admits having passed to me. Nevertheless the above order was at once given to deprive me of the land. The Regulations direct that a person shall not be dispossessed of Government or Jooree Inam or Surv Inam land which he may have held for six months. The rule is observed in the above Zilla, but still it has been overlooked in my case alone. I request that your Lordship in Council will issue an order to continue the existing state of things.

This petition is returned to the petitioner as it is not in conformity with the rules published under date the 23d May 1854. A copy of the rule in fringed is herewith sent dated 8 November 1854.

Signed H. L. Anderson.
Sup to Govt

Substance of a further petition dated 24 and received and ordered to be translated 28 November 1854.

Agreeably to the above rule I beg to forward herewith the petitions made to local and controlling authorities

authorities and their replies thereto.

1 A Copy of the petition made to the Collector of Dharwar on the 5th July last.

1 The original reply to the above No. 34 dated 11 August 1854.

1 A Copy of the petition made to the Revenue Commissioner on the 21 August last.

1 The original reply to the above No. 58 dated 12 October last.

Substance of a reply from H. W. Reeves, Esqre. acting Revenue Commissioner Southern Division to Dor Tukeera bin Shimappa Hadur, inhabitant of Dharwar.

In your petition of the 21 August last you state that a piece of Inam land situated in Mouza Hosekuttee, Taloka Dharwar & held for set sindee service, is entered in the name of your opponent Hassappa that as he is unable to render the service on account of old age he has made over the land to you on condition of your performing the duties, that he has passed an agreement to that effect, that accordingly you have been cultivating the land for the last 6 or 7 years, but that the Collector has recently deprived you of it. Proceedings having been instituted on the petition, orders are now given to you as follows. Your name is not entered in the accounts while your opponent's name is entered. The Collector has therefore directed that your opponent be entrusted with the duties & the land be continued to him & that as you have cultivated the land this year, you should, if willing be allowed to perform the

Recd: 29th Nov 1854

the service up to the end of the year & to remove the Crops. — This order is proper & I see no reason to give any other order in the matter. Be it known to you. Dated 12 October 1854

Signed H. W. Reeves.
Revenue Commissioner.

Henry A. Wa Borden
Oriental Transactions

R.F.

No. 5338 of 1854.

TERRITORIAL DEPARTMENT.

Forwarded to the Acting Revenue Comr. Sou[illegible]

for Report (to be written below)

By order, &c.

C. G. Fraser Tytler

Acting Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
5 Decr. 1854.

N. B.

As the Accompaniments to this reference has to be recorded in the Deputy Secretary's Department, the Secretary has been directed to enjoin that it be returned to him uninjured, with the required Report.

No. 55. of 1855

Territorial Department

Revenue

Poona Revd. Commissioner's office

6th January 1855.

In reply to the foregoing reference the Acting Revd. Comr. S.D. has the honor to submit a report by the Collector of Dharwar dated 30th ultimo No. 2250, and under the circumstances stated to recommend that the decision of the local officers in Petitioner case be upheld.

[illegible]

Acting Revd. Commr. S.D.

(Govt. Memo No. 5338, dated 4th December 1854, on the petition of Dor Takeera bin Bheema-pa shetsundee of Monje Hase Kuttee Talooka Dharwar)

No. 3829 of 1854

Poona Rev. Commr's office - 6th Decr 1854 -

Referred for the opinion & report of the Collr. of Dharwar. -

Vinay Reeves
Acting Rev. Commr.
S. D.

[illegible]

[illegible]
15/12 54

No. 2250 of 1854. -
Dharwar Collector's Office
31 December 1854. -

The Petitioner Dor Takeera states that

that his kinsman, Bussapa, transferred to him in writing in 1848 his Shetsundee land situated at the village of Hoskuttee and that he enjoyed the proceeds of the land and performed the service attached to it from that time to the period in the current year when the Mamlutdar of Dharwar ejected him in favour of Bussapa's son Hunma; that he appealed to the Collector and to the Revenue Commissioner, but could only obtain from those authorities permission to retain the land and render service up to the close of the current year.—

2nd The facts of the case are these. The land was never transferred to the Petitioner himself nor did he ever render service for it. Bussapa made over his Sundee land in writing in 1848 to the Petitioner's father Bhimappa, whose other son, Sun Eukeera, rendered service.—

3rd On the representation of the village authorities that the incumbent, Sun-Eukeera, was unfit for service the Mamlutdar of Dharwar directed that Hunma, son of Bussapa whose name still stood in the Government books as Shetsundee, should, as being fit, render service.—

4" The Petitioner then appealed to the Collector who directed that Bussapa should serve in person and enjoy the Sundee land or resign the situation of Shetsundee.—

5" An appeal was then made

to

to the Revenue Commissioner when the Collector having found that the Petitioner had cultivated and sown the Sundee land issued orders that the incumbent should not be distrubed in his possession till the close of the year.—

Considering that a Shetsunee who enjoys land rent-free on condition of rendering service to Government, has no right without permission from the proper authorities, to transfer his land and duties to another person, the Petitioner and the members of his family have acquired no right to the land in question or the Office attached to it and therefore it would appear that the Collector's decision was just and should be upheld.—

Collector.

that his kinsman, Bussapa, transferred to him in writing in 1848 his Shetsundee land situated at the village of Hoskuttee and that he enjoyed the proceeds of the land and performed the service attached to it from that time to the period in the current year when the Mamlutdar of Dharwar ejected him in favour of Bussapa's son Hunma, that he appealed to the Collector and to the Revenue Commissioner, but could only obtain from those authorities permission to retain the land and render service up to the close of the current year.—

2nd The facts of the case are these The land was never transferred to the Petitioner himself nor did he ever render service for it Bussapa made over his Sundee land in writing in 1848 to the Petitioner's father Bhumappa, whose other son, Sun Fukeera, rendered service.—

3rd On the representation of the village authorities that the incumbent, Sun-Fukeera, was unfit for service the Mamlutdar of Dharwar directed that Hunma, son of Bussapa whose name still stood in the Government Rooksas Shetsundee, should, as being fit, render service.—

4" The Petitioner then appealed to the Collector who directed that Bussapa should serve in person and enjoy the Sundee land or resign the situation of Shetsundee.—

5" An appeal was then made

to

to the Revenue Commissioner when the Collector having found that the Petitioner had cultivated and sown the Sundee land issued orders that the incumbent should not be disturbed in his possession till the close of the year.—

6° Considering that a Shetsunee who enjoys land rent-free on condition of rendering service to Government, has no right without permission from the proper authorities, to transfer his land and duties to another person, the Petitioner and the members of his family have acquired no right to the land in question or the office attached to it and therefore it would appear that the Collector's decision was just and should be upheld.—

[signature]

[signature]
Collector.

Dor Fuckeera bin Bhimappa [illegible] that his Kinsman Bussapa transferred to him in 1848 his Shetsunder land situated in the village of Hoskutter, and that he enjoyed the proceeds of the land and performed the service attached to it from that time to the period in the current year, when the Mamlutdar of Dharwar ejected him in favor of Bussapa's son Himma, that he appealed to the Coll.r and to the Revenue Commr but could only obtain from those authorities permission to retain the land and render service up to the close of the current year.

2- The facts of the case are thus stated by the Coll.r of Dharwar, in a report made by him through the Actg Rev Comr S. D,

"The land was never transferred to the Petitioner "himself, nor did he ever render service for it. Bussapa made over "his Sunder land in writing in 1848 to the Petr's father, Bhimappa whose "other son Sun Fuckeera rendered service.

"3d On the representation of the village authorities "that the incumbent Sun Fuckeera was unfit for service, the Mam"lutdar of Dharwar directed that Himma son of Bussapa whose "name still stood in the Govt Books as Shetsunder, should, as "being fit, render service.

"4- The petitioner then appealed to the Coll.r, "who directed that Bussapa should serve in person and enjoy the "Sunder land, or resign the situation of Shetsunder.

"5 An appeal was then made to the Rev Com "when the Coll.r having found that the Petr had cultivated and "sown the Sunder land, issued orders that the incumbent should not be disturbed in his possession till the close of the year.

"6. Considering that a Shetsunder who enjoys "land rent free on condition of rendering service to Govt, has "no right without permission from the proper authorities, to transfer "his land and duties to another person, the petitioner and the mem"bers of his family have acquired no right to the land in question "or the office attached to it, and therefore it would appear that "the Coll.r's decision was just and should be upheld."

3. Under the circumstances stated by the Coll.r the Actg Rev Comr recommends that the decision of the local Officers on petitioner's case be upheld.

Resolution

Resolution

Petitioner to be informed that Government see no reason to interfere in his case.

MM 3 Feby

3/2/55

R. 12. Feb/55

Revenue Cons 1855

No 1222 P 24

Wonder

No. 622 of 1855
F. & R.

Resn.
2 Feb: /55

ordered that Do. Fukeera bin Bhimappa Set Sundee of mouze Hase-Kuttee, Tal: Dharwar be informed from the Persian Dept., in reply to his Petition dy the 4th nov: 1854, that Govt. see no reason to interfere in his case.

No. 992
dy the 29th
nov: /54.

No. 623

Copy for the Rev: Comm: S. D. with ref: to his report dy the 6th ult: No. 55.

B. C.
12 Feby /55

H. G.

Revenue Cases 1853

No 1225

1855.

No. 1267. R. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Hunmungowda bin Murrygowda Patell

Subsequent proceedings Comp; 114 of 1856.

Revenue Cases 1855

No 1225

1855.

No. 1267. R. D.

Lands

Dharwar.

Hunmungowda bin Murrygowda Patell.

Subsequent proceedings - "Comp; 114 of 1856." -

Repl. to the H. C. in Rev. letter of 15 Apl No 30 of 1856
No. 20 of 1855.

From,

T. Ogilvy Esqr.
Collector of Dharwar.

To,

H. W. Reeves Esqre
Revenue Commissioner S. D.
Dharwar Collr's Office,
Camp Adoor,
1855.—

Sir,

I have the honor to bring your notice that a person named Hunmungowda bin Murrigowda Patel of the Village of Arleshwur Talooka Hangul has applied that certain Joodee land made Khalsat in 1854/55 may be re-entered in his name as Joodee and to request that I may be favored with authority to do so under the following circumstances.—

2d The field referred to No. 140 containing 26 acres 8 chains and assessed at Rs 48 and subject to a quit rent of the same amount was

was held in separate shares by the applicant and his relation Houdupgowda bin Bussungowda. The latter threw up his share when his fellow sharer Hummungowda was invited to undertake its cultivation or throw up his own share also. —

3.d Hummungowda declined the latter alternative when the whole field was entered as Khalsat. He now prays for permission to cultivate the whole field on condition that it is entered in his name as Joodee. —

4th It appears to me that Rule 7 of the Revenue Survey Rules does not apply to service tenure land and that Hummungowda is entitled at his option to retain the whole of the field or his former share of it on service tenure. —

5th I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt. Servt.

[illegible]

Collector

No. 1571 of 1855.

Territorial Department.

Revenue.

Poona Rev. Commissioner's office

7th June 1855.

Forwarded for the information and orders of Government.

2. Further reports from the Collector show that as the Patel of the Village of Arleshwor derives no profit from the service land, Government have been obliged to allow him a cash payment amounting to Rs. 51-4 per annum.

3 Mr. Ogilvy also states his opinion that the Joodee land was illegally entered Khalsat He has, however, failed to state his views on the obligation of Government to restore the land to the privileges of Joodee under the circumstance noticed in the 2d para of this memo.

4. The Rev. Commr. is of opinion that the illegality which Mr. Ogilvy speaks of exists more in name than in reality.

since the land was assigned under the Goodee tenure as the remuneration of service for which object as it is quite inoperative Government has been under the necessity of substituting a cash payment. It can scarcely be doubted that, if the Petitioning Patel were given the option, he would sooner surrender the Goodee tenure than the annual cash payment. The question seems to depend entirely upon the liberality of Govt.—

5. It would seem advisable in cases like the present to call upon the Wuttandars to relinquish their claim to Goodee, with the option, if they prefer retaining it, of having their cash payments reduced at the next survey to the extent of the sum by which the new assessment may exceed the present, if any enhancement of the present rates should then take place.—

Wm. Rees

Rev. Commissioner

S.S.

From

The Rec. Commr. L.D.

No. 1571. dated 7th June 1855.

Forwarding for orders a letter from the Collector of Dharwar dated 30th March 1855 requesting permission to reenter certain Joodee Lands made Khalsat in 1854/55 as Joodee in the name of Hunmun gowda bin Murrygowda Pattel of Arleshwar Talooka Hangul

since the land was assigned under the Goodee tenure as the remuneration of service, for which object as it is quite inoperative Government has been under the necessity of substituting a cash payment. It can scarcely be doubted that, if the Petitioning Rate were given the option, he would sooner surrender the Goodee tenure than the annual cash payment. The question seems to depend entirely upon the liberality of Govt.—

It would seem advisable in cases like the present to call upon the Wuttandars to relinquish their claim to Goodee, with the option, if they prefer retaining it, of having their cash payments reduced at the next survey to the extent of the sum by which the new assessment may exceed the present, if any enhancement of the present rates should then take place.—

Wm. Rees

Rev. Commissioner

From,

The Rev. Commr. L.D.

No. 1571. dated 7th June 1855

Forwarding for orders a letter from the Collect of Dharwar dated 30th March 1855 requesting permission to reenter certain Joodee Lands mad Khotsatt in 1854/55, as Joodee in the name of Hunmun gowda bin Murrygowda Pattet of Ar-leshwar Talooka Hangul.

Recd 9 June/55 30

No. 2579 of 1855.

Territorial Department, &c.

Forwarded to the Superintendent Revenue Survey & Assts, S.D.

for Report (to be written below)

By order, &c.

W. Hewitt

Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
19 June 1855.

No. 167 of 1855

Supt's Office Dharwar.
27th June 1855.

Captain Anderson is of opinion that there was no illegality in requiring the Petitioner either to take up the portion of the Survey number thrown up by his relative Hondupgowda or resign all claim on any part of the number.

2nd The Revenue Survey number in question No. 140 contains nothing but Joodee Inam land belonging to one wuttum that is the Patel of the Village. Before the introduction

troduction of the Survey it was held to be entirely optional with the Authorities to receive partial resignations of wuttums composed of Joodee Enam lands, it was the ancient practice to insist on the retention or resignation of all the lands of the wuttum or of none, it is probable that the difficulty or impossibility of ascertaining the share of the Joodee falling on each field or portion of the whole wuttum lands was the cause of this practice being retained under our rule: resignations of parts of wuttums with a consequent reduction of Joodee may have occasionally taken place previous to the Survey settlement such however were permitted as an Act of favor and not of right.

3rd. The Survey settlement however fixed the Assessment on every individual field, and any Joodeedar is permitted to resign any entire Survey field receiving a deduction from his Joodee of the full Survey Assessment of that field. In the present case the ancient Joodee

being

being more than the Survey Assessment: the latter, for the term during which it is in force, is fixed as the Joodee payable.

4th The accepting resignations of portions of wuttums so long as they comprise whole Survey numbers is a great boon to Joodee Enamdars originated by the present Government, and could not have been claimed under the sanction of any previously existing custom, it is therefore impossible that illegality or even illeberality can be attributed to the insisting that resignations shall include entire Survey fields of one wuttum.

5th In case the above statement of the rights of Joodeedars is considered to be correct, it might be advisable for the prevention of doubt in future cases to make a rule that Rule 8 of the Survey Code is applicable to Enam as well as to Government Revenue Survey numbers comprising lands belonging to one and the same wuttum.

[signature]

Supt Rev Survey & Assest:

Despatched 20th 1855

Rept. to the H. C. in Rev. letter d/15 Apl. 1850 p.

No. 3044 of 185

Territorial Department, Re

Forwarded to the Revenue Commissioner

for further Report (to be written below)

By order, &c.

W Hart

Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
17 July 1855.
Rem

No 2139. of 1855.
Territorial Departm
Revenue.
Poona Rev. Commrs. Office
26th July 1855.

The Revenue Commission has the honor to report that the arguments of Mr Ogilvy Collector of Dhar war were as follows:-

1st. As the field was Jodee ... but the owner had a right to cultivate it.

2d. The petitioner Hunmuntgow Dad inherited a share only of the field

and that therefore Govt. cannot de-
mand from him more than the
assessment on that share.—

Mr. Ogilvy overlooked the fact
that so soon as the Jodee fell into arrears
the land was vacated, and became virtually
Khalsat—and again that the assessment
is not laid on portions of a field but on
the whole field.— And further that altho'
Govt. are ready as an act of grace to
recognize separate Tuhsheems in certain
cases, they distinctly reserve to themselves
the liberty of treating Wuttons as wholes;
and of ignoring the shares altogether.—

2. There is this, however, to be said
in connection with this case, that the
service has been performed—and yet
nothing was given for it—until the
introduction of the new arrangements
for paying Village Officers.— It is also
further to be noted, that if Kun-
mun Gowda had chosen to pay the
Jodee, the Collector's establishment
would have had no right whatever to meddle

with

1802
Dhar: Lands.

with the field which might have been cultivated to the Extent of half or Quarter, or remained waste accord-ing to the Petitioner's own pleasure.

3. [illegible] it seems that when [illegible] dupgow [illegible] threw up his share of the field, the Petitioner Hunmungowda was offered not the responsibility of the Wutton, but required to cul-tivate the whole of the field. It is probable that he was at that time afraid to cultivate the abandoned share for fear of giving offence, though for the purpose of maintaining the title of his branch of the Patelkee family to his own share or to estab-lish a claim to the whole of the land he might have consented to pay the Jodee.—

4. It is by no means unlikely that Rule 7 of the Joint Survey Rules was rigidly carried out without any Explanation of the Circumstances of the case or of the privileges of Jodee notwithstanding the tax had been

Equalized

equalized in amount with the Survey rate

5. A Wuttondar will not readily resign his share in a Wutton, and the Rev. Commr. is not inclined to consider the instances of resignations as sufficiently numerous and clearly proved to serve as precedents.—

6. There can however, be no doubt that Jodeedars may resign whole fields, still one sharer in a Jodee cannot resign what does not belong to him, he cannot disinherit his brethren Wuttundars.—

7. The Rev. Commr. is of opinion that in questions of this kind, the payment of the Jodee is the point to which attention should be directed and that Govt. have no right to interfere with the land until it becomes Khalsat. He sees no occasion for the rule proposed by Captain Anderson, which would in his

Opinion

opinion induce unnecessary inter-
ference with Service and Enam lands.

8. He adheres to the opinion al-
ready expressed that under the cir-
cumstances of the Case Hunmungowda
should be allowed to regain field
No. 140 as Jodee.—

Henry W. Reeves

Rev. Commissioner Sd.

From, The Rev. Commr. Sd.
No. 2139. 26th July 1855.

Reporting further on the
question of re-entering certain Jodee
lands made Khalsat in 1854/55,
as Jodee in the name of Hunmungowda
bin Murrygowda Patel of Arleshwur
Talooka Hangul of the Dharwar
Collectorate.—

R.I. No. 4063

A piece of land in the Dharwar Collectorate assessed at Rupees 48 and subject to a quit rent of the same amount was held in separate shares by Hummungowda bin Mungowda, Patel of the village of Arleshwur in the Bangal Talooka, and by a relative of his, named Handapgowda bin Bassum gowda - The latter having thrown up his share, Hummungowda was invited to undertake its cultivation or throw up his own share also.-

2 The latter alternative was declined by Hummuntgowda, and the whole field was entered as Khalsat in 1854/55.-

3 Hummungowda has since applied to the Collector for permission to cultivate the whole field on condition that it is entered in his name as Jodee.-

4 The Collector considers that Rule 7 of the Revenue Survey Rules does not apply to service tenure land, and that Hummungowda is entitled at his option to retain the whole field or his former share of it on service tenure.

5 It appears that as the Patel of Arleshwur derives no profit for the service land, Govt. have been obliged to allow him a cash payment of Rupees 51-4- per annum, and Mr. Ogilvy is of opinion that the Jodee land was illegally entered as Khalsat.-

6 The Revenue Commr. S.D. submits his observations and opinion on the subject, and the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Assessment S. M. Country, who has, also, been consulted, expresses his sentiments, and proposes a rule with a view of preventing doubt in future cases to the effect that Rule 8 of the Survey Code is applicable to Enam as well as to Govt. Rev. Survey Numbers comprising lands belonging to one and the same Watan.

Mr.

Mr. Reeves in a subsequent report, offers certain further observations, and expresses his opinion thus:

In questions of this kind, the payment of the Jodee is the point to which attention should be directed and Govt. have no right to interfere with the land until it becomes Khalsat. He (Mr. Reeves) sees no occasion for the rule proposed by Captain Anderson, which would in his opinion induce unnecessary interference with service and Inam lands.

8. He (Mr. Reeves) adheres to the opinion already expressed that under the circumstances of the case Hummuntgowda should be allowed to regain field No. 140 as Jodee.

Resolution.

The Governor in C. fully concurs in the opinion expressed by Mr. Reeves in this case, and authorizes it's being acted

E. Augt 25

29 Rbl. 31 Aug

Wm 30 Aug

31/8/55

Lm 5 Sept/55

Rept. to the Ld. Com. Rev. letter of 15 Apr. No. 30 of 1855

Memo. from the Revd. Commr. S.D. No. 1571 of the 7th June 1855 with accept. Report by the Supt. Revd. Survey & assistant S.M.C. No. 167 of the 27th June 1855 & by the Revd. Comr. S.D. No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855.

Resn. on the Papers noted in the margin on the Subject of re-entering certain land made Khalsat in 1854/55 as Jodee in the name of Shimanu-Gowda bin Mury Gowda Patel of Arleshwar Talooka Shangal in the Dharwar Collectorate.

(Here enter Resn.)

No. 3721 of 1855 I.D.R.

Note.
A copy of Mr. Reeves' Report No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855 & of this Resn. has been sent to the Supt. Revd. Survey Assistant S.M.C.

To the Revd. Commr. S.D.

No.

To the Supt. Revd. Survey Assistant S.M.C. with a copy of Mr. Reeves' Report No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855.

5th Septr. 1855.

Copied by [illegible]
Compd. by [illegible]

Mr. Reeves in a subsequent report, offers certain further observations, and expresses his opinion thus:

"In questions of this kind, the "payment of the Jodee is the point to which "attention should be directed and Govt. "have no right to interfere with the land "until it becomes Khalsat. He (Mr. Reeves) sees no "occasion for the rule proposed by Captain Anderson, which would in his "opinion induce unnecessary interference "with service and loan lands.

"8. He (Mr. Reeves) adheres to the opinion already expressed that under the circumstances of the case Hummuntgowda "should be allowed to regain field No. 140 "as Jodee."

Resolution.

The Governor in Co. fully concurs in the opinion expressed by Mr. Reeves in this case, and authorizes it's being acted on.

Aug 25

29 [illegible] 31 Aug

[illegible] 30

31/8/55

Ex 5 Sept/55

Rept. to the [illegible]

Memo. from the Reve. Commr. S.D. No. 1571 of the 7th June 1855 with accept. Report by the Supt. Reve. Survey & assessment S.M.C. No. 167 of the 27th June 1855 & by the Reve. Comm. S.D. No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855.

Resn. on the Papers [illegible] in the margin on the Subject of [illegible] certain land made Khalsat in 1854/55 as Jodee in the name of Shivram Gowda bin Mhury Gowda Patel of Arleshwar Talooka Shangil in the Dharwar Collectorate.

(Here enter Resn.)

No. 3721 of 1855 I.D.R.

Note.
A copy of Mr. Reeves' Report No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855 & of this Resn. has been sent to the Supt. Reve. Survey Assessment S.M.C.

To the Reve. Commr. S.D.

No.

To the Supt. Reve. Survey Assessment S.M.C. with a copy of Mr. Reeves' Report No. 2139 of the 26 July 1855.

5th Septr. 1855.

Copied by [illegible]
Compd. by [illegible]

Revenue Cons 1855

a/5 September 1855

Cop. in the Diary by
Messerwanjee H.
Comp. by

1855.

No. 107.

R. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Sewappa bin Roodrappa Beluritgher

Former proceedings Comp. 1476 of 1854.

The Honorable Court of
Directors of the United
Company of Merchants
trading to the East Indies

The humble petition
of Sewappa bin Roo-
-drappa Belwutyk
Inhabitants of Mun-
-toor Mahal Mooc-
-goond in the Dummul
Talooka of the Dharwa
Division and Zillah

Humble Sheweth —

As I have not received
any answer up to this very moment
as promised by the Memorandum
No. 2468 of 1854 the Copy of which
I beg to enclose I humbly and
respectfully

The Honorable Court of
Directors of the United
Company of Merchants
trading to the East Indies

The humble petition
of Sewappa bin Roo-
-drappa Belwutty
Inhabitants of Mun-
-toor Mahal Mool-
-goond in the Dummul
Talooka of the Dharwa
Division and Zillah

Humble Sheweth —

As I have not received
any answer up to this very moment
as promised by the Memorandum
No. 2468 of 1854 the Copy of which
I beg to enclose I humbly send
respectfully

would be pleased to favor your Petition with an answer—

Your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray—

[illegible]

) Signed (Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belwatghee

Dharwar —
28th December
1854 —

The Govt order No 4345 dated 18th Sept to the address of the Petitioner in reply to his petition dated 12th May 1854 was posted bearing in the Genl Post Office on the same day. The Dy Post Master General may be requested to cause enquiries to be made relative to the packet containing that order & report the result to this Deptt.

C. G. Haines Tyler
Actg Secy

11 Jany/55

No. 2468 of 1854

Political Department,

Sewappa-bin Roodrappa Belivatghee is informed that his petition dated the 12th Instant has been received, and that the decision of Government thereon, will be hereafter communicated to him.

By order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

W A Handreson

Secretary to Government

Bombay
31st May
1854

No. 2468 of 1854.

Political Department,

Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belwatghee is informed that his petition dated the 12th Instant has been received, and that the decision of Government thereon, will be hereafter communicated to him.

By order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Bombay — W. A. Handresson

21st May 1854

Secretary to Government

No. 248 of 1855
T. W. R.

Memo.

The Acting Secretary to Government presents Compliments, and requests the Deputy Post Master General will have the Gardness to cause enquiries to be made, and report the result relative to a packet addressed as per margin and posted, being at the General Post office on the 18th Sept. 1854, but which the Party addressed states has not been delivered to him.

No. 4345 of 1854
T. W. R.
To Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belwutghee Dharwar.

B.C.
18 Jany 55

~~Copy of the Govt. Letter No. 4345 dy 18th Sept. 1854, to be furnished to Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belwutghee.~~

General Department

Memorandum.

The Deputy Post Master General presents compliments and with reference to Mr Acting Secretary Tytlers Memo No 246 dated the 18th January last in the Revenue Department begs to state that the letter addressed as per margin appears on enquiry to have been lost or mislaid whilst in charge of the Mamlutdar of the Dumbul Talooka.

No 4345 of 1854.
Territorial Departt
Revenue
To Sewappa bin Roodrapa
Belwuntglee.
Dharwar

Bombay
Genl Post Office
12th March 1855

J. Blewers
Deputy Post Master Genl

Recd 13 March/53: 1388-

From the Deputy Post Master General No 391 dated 12 March 1855

Reporting that a letter to the address of Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belvunt ghee, has been lost or mislaid, whilst in charge of the Mamlutdar of the Dumbul Talooka

A Duplicate of Government Letter, No 4345, dated 18th September 1854 to be now furnished to Sewapa

March 1855.

H. Young
Offg Chief Secy

Spd. 21 March/55.

No. 1195 of 1855.
T. D. Revenue.

To

Sewappabin Roodrappa Belwutghee.
Inhabitant of Muntoor,
Mahal Moolgoond.
Talooka Dummul.
Dharwar.

In reply to your Petition dated the 28th December last, I am directed to furnish you with the accompanying duplicate of Govt. Letter No. 4345, dated 18th Sept. 1854.

B.C.
21 March/55

[illegible]

[illegible] Bhuchundra [illegible]
[illegible] by
H. A. Thurlett.

The Humble Petition
of Sivappa bin Rood-
rapa Belwatghee in-
habitant of Muntoor
Mahal Moolgoon in
the Dummul Totaka
of the Zillah Dharwar
The Humble Sheweth,

With reference to your
Petitioners Petition to your Lord
Ship in Council dated the 28th December
last praying for the transmission
of reply which has been promised in
the Mr Secretary Andersons letter
No 2468 dated 31st May last to your
Petitioners address, your Petitioner
most Respectfully pray that he may
be apologized for again praying
for an answer to his former Pe-
tition of 12th May last. He is a poor
Ryot.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Recd 19 March 55.

15.6 ~~1522~~

107

To
The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
Bombay

Stamped
16-3-55

File - The former petition from this person was replied to on the 21st Instt. No. 1195

[illegible]

20 March 55. Offg. Ch. Secy

H. Young Esquire
Offg Chief Secretary
to Government
Bombay

...st Respected Sir,

I most respectfully beg leave to forward the Copy of my Petition in Triplicate dated 12 May 1854 to the Honorable Court of Directors as directed in [illegible] No 4345 of the 18th September last -

I Remain with high Respect
Respected Sir,
your Most Obt & Humble
Servant
[illegible]
Seewappa bin
Poodrappa Inhabitant
of Muntoor Talook Dummal

Darwar
April 1855

This Memorial may
now be sent to the Humble
Court, the instructions
given in the Court Recd
of the 21 Augt 1854 being
attended to.

Issd 9 May/55

The Honble the Court of Directors of the
United Company of Merchants trad
to East Indies

The humble Petition of
Lewappa bin Roodrappa
Belwutghee Inhabitant of
Muntoor Mahal Moolgoond
in the Dummul Talook
of the Dharwar Division and
Zilla -

umbly Sheweth

That your honors humbly Petition
most respectfully [illegible] to bring these few lines
to your Lordships gracious kind consideration that I
[illegible] of the above village on my back yard attached
to my house in which I now reside [illegible]
from the time of Peshwa Government [illegible]
I have [illegible] [illegible] which was sold
[illegible] by the Collector without [illegible]
upon the false report made by the Mahalkurry [illegible]
was instigated by the Police Patell and Coolkurnee
of the village in order to run me through [illegible]
that exists between us long since There is such
Circular Order that no vacant lands should be put
to auction unless there be more houses than a [illegible]
in a village but our village is a small one

and

nd contains about 200 houses therefore the Collector
should not put my back yard into auction and also
the Collector is not vested with power to deprive my
possession after 6 Months under Act 16 of 1838 Section
and when I had possession for 30 I had full right
in the house as it is in my possession since 35 years
that is from my ancestors time The Collector without
bringing all my claims into his notice I once passed
on order for sale on auction upon the false report
of the Mahalkurry I had my heap of stocks in this
yard which was forcibly removed from that place
by the Sirkar well knowing that the land was in
my possession I therefore sustained a loss of 150 Rupees
If the Sirkar were to be unjust to the poor Ryots
it is hard hard to be under their protection In con-
clusion your honors Petitioner begs to represent
that this case was not tried before the Collector
or his Assistant or the Mahalkurry but without
taking my proofs the Collector at once ordered my
land for sale on auction and your honors petitioner
respectfully begs to solicit your honors will be
pleased to enquire into it and restore my place
and the loss of 150 Rupees. I sustained the Moolgoon
being in the Mooglaee territory the British is
not now in force there your honors petitioner
therefore begs to request that your honors will
pleased to order me to file a suit in any

Civil Court of the Dharwar zilla where I cannot
my proofs to establish my claims as to your
honors petitioner obtained no redress from the
Governor in Council of this Presidency he obliged
to trouble your honors.

your Petitioner as duty bound shall ever
pray.

Dharwar
12th May 1854

Pensions Cases 1855

No 4122 & 23

Reported to H.C.A. in Letter No. 42 of 11 - May 1855.

No. 1890 - of 1855.

Sd Revenue

To,

Seewappa bin Roodrappa
Dherwan

I am directed to inform You that the Memorial sub-mitted with your Petition of 7 Ult. will be forwarded to the Hble Court by the next Overland Mail -

R.C.
7th May 1855

MA
Secy

Revenue Cases 1855

L 4122 & 23

Reported to H.C.A. in Letter No. 42 7/11th May 1855

No. 1890 - of 1855.

I.D. Revenue

To,

Seewappa bin Roodrappa
Dherwar

I am directed to inform you that the Memorial submitted with your Petition of 7 Ult. will be forwarded to the Hble Court by the next Overland Mail -

R.C.
7th May 155

[illegible]
Secy

Revenue Cons 1855

No 4124
Copd in the Diary by
Dinanath K
Compd by Manoe Kyee J.

Copd by
Judgeew Ra
Compd by Wilandera N

No. 42 of 1855.

The Rec

To,

The Honble the Court of Directors
London

Hble Sirs,

We have the honor to forward a memorial from Sewappa bin Roodrappa Belsutzhee, inhabitant of Dharwar, ~~to the address of your Honble Court~~, and, ~~to~~ in referring your Hon. Court to the annexed copy of the proceedings as noted in the Margin, to state that we are of opinion that Mr. Lawis report of 10 April 1852, shows that Petitioner has no just cause for complaint.

Rec Cons: 1852
V: 5430 @ 33-
(Vol 132/52.)

Bombay Castle
10th May 1855.

To The Right Honorable the Governor in Coun

Bombay

The humble petition of Sewappa bi
Roodrappa Belwulghee Inhabitan
of Muntoor Mahal Moolgoond in t
Dummul Talook of the Dharwa
Division and Zilla.

Humbly Sheweth

That your honor's humbly petitioner m
respectfully begs leave to bring these few lines to your Lor
-ships gracious kind consideration that I am a Ryot of th
above village and my back yard attached to my house
in which I now reside wise in my possession from the
time of Peishwa Government in that yard I had heaped
up my stacks &ca which was sold on auction by the
Collector without making any enquiry upon the false rep
made by the Mahalkurry who was instigated by the Pole
Patell and Coolcurnee of the Village in order to ruin m
through enemity that existed between us long since. Ther
is such a Circular order that no vacant lands should
put to auction unless there be more houses than a 1000
a Village but our village is a small one and it conta
about 200 houses therefore the Collector should not

pu

ut my back yard into auction and also the Collector is
t vested with power to deprive my possession after 6
onths under Act 16 of 1838 Section 2 and when I had possession
r 30 I had ful right on the house as it is in my possession
ince 35 years that is from my ancisters time The Collector
ithout bringing all my claims into his notice at once
assed on order for sale on auction upon the false report
the Mahulkerry I had my heap of stacks in this yard
hich was forcibly removed from that place by the
irkar well knowing that the land was in my possession
therefore sustained a loss of 150 Rupees If the Sirkar
ere to be unjust to the poor Ryots it is hard to be under
heir protection In conclusion your honors petitioner begs
represent that this case was not tried before the Collector
r his Assistant or the Mahalkurry but without taking my
roofs the Collector at once ordered my land for sale on
uction and your honors petitioner respectfully begs to solicit
your honors will be pleased to enquire into it and restore
my place and the loss of 150 Rupees I sustained the Mool:
goond bring in the Mooglaee territory the British is not
ow in force there your Honors petitioner therefore begs
request that your Honor will be pleased to order me to
ile a suit in any Civil Court of the Dharwar Zilla where
I can adduce my proofs to establish my claims as to —

Your

your Honors petitioner obtained no [illegible]
Governor in Council of this Presidency he obliged to tra[illegible]
your Honors. —

Your Petitioner as duty bound
shall ever pray —

Dharwar
14th November
1855.

P. S. Your poor petitioner present a petition to your
Lordship in Council for not favoring me with
an answer to the petition made by me previous to
this in the Month of April 1855. I therefore beg most
respectfully that your Lordship in Council will
have the goodness to issue an order to restore my
land. —

[illegible]

Sewappa Sun Roodra[illegible]
Inhabitant of [illegible]
Talook [illegible] mul.

Stamped

To,
The Right Honorable The Governor
Council.
Bombay

Sewappa bin Madrappa
14th Nov. 1855
Complains of having [illegible] deposit
of certain land

P. O.
NOV 8
1855
M

No. 5063 of 1855

The Redem

Memo

It appears from a letter presented to Govt. under date 19 Ult. by one Seewappa bin Roo-drappa, Inhabitant of Munta Taloka Dummul in the Dhar Collt., that he has not receive the Govt. letter to his address, as per margin, sent to the Post Off on the 8th May last.

The Undersigned pa Compliments, and will feel obl by the Offg Post Master Genera informing him as to what b became of the above letter

Bearing
No. 1890 of 1855
T.D. Red.
To Seewappa bin Roodrappa
Dharwar.

B.C.
3 Decr/55

H.T.
OWGS

Recd 21st Nov 55.

Semappa bin Mondappa
14th Nov. 1855

Complains of having been deprived of certain land

P. O. S. 8 NOV 1855 A. M.

No. 5063 of 1855

The Revenue

Memo:

It appears from a petit[illegible] presented to Govt. under date 14[illegible] Ult.o by one Sewappa bin Roo-drappa, Inhabitant of Munto[illegible] Jalooka Dummul in the Dhar[illegible] Collt.e, that he has not receive[illegible] the Govt. letter to his address, as per margin, sent to the Post Off[illegible] on the 8th May last.

The Undersigned pre[illegible] Compliments, and will feel oblig[illegible] by the Offg Post Master General informing him as to what has become of the above letter.

Bearing
No. 1890 of 1855
T.D. Red.
To Seewappa bin Roodrappa
Dharwar.

B.C.
3 Decr/55

H.S.
OWSCS
[illegible]

1855

No. 58.

R. D.

Lands

Dharwar

Sunkungowda Sin Murry Bussungowda

1855

No. 58.

R. D.

Lands

Dharwar.

Sunkungowda bin Murry Bussungowda.

No 404 of 1855.

From, G. Ogilvy Esquire
Collector of Dharwar

To, H. W. Reeves Esquire
Rev Commr. Sr

Dharwar Collrs Office
Camp Savanoor
7th March 1855.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that a piece of Govt Land situated within the village of Hosoor Talooka Bunkapoor was sold by Auction for Rs (50) Fifty to Sunkingowda bin Murry bussungowda who subsequently refused to take the land because it was found that a road passed through it, although he was offered the advantage of having the value of the land occupied by the road deducted from the purchase money

2nd In as much as the ground was purchased on the understanding that the

whole

whole of it would belong to the purchaser I think that Sunkeu gowda should be released from his bargain, and that the purchase money which was credited to Govt in November last should be returned to him, and I request that I may be favored with authority to do so—

I have the honor &c.

Signed T Ogilvy
Collector.—

No 1065. of 1855.

Ter: Department
Revenue
Poona Rev Commrs Office
14th April 1855.

Forwarded for the sanction of Government.

2. In accordance dated 7th

7th instant, No. 531, the Collector
states "that it does not appea
"that Sunkungowda was awar
"when he purchased the land,
"that there was a Road through
"it. — After the purchase certain
"of the Villagers claimed a right
"of way through the land which
"it appeared, on Enquiry they
"had previously Enjoyed". —

Wm. Dew

Rev. Commissioner
S.D.

N.B. [illegible]

Res.n on a Memo: from ~~From~~ The Rev. Comm.r Sn
No. 1065, d/: 14th April 1853.

Forwarding for sanction
an application from the Collector
of Dharwar, to release one
Sunkungowda bin Murry Bussu-
gowda, from his purchase of
certain land, and to refund him
Rs. 150 fifty, the sum paid for
the land). —

Authorized

No. 1907 of 1855.
The Red.

To,
The Red. Commr. S.
To
The Civil Auditor

7th May 1855

Recd.

Revenue Cons 1855

No 4138 P 41

Cop'd in the Diary by
Duranath
Comp'd by Manockjee Jr.

Hasendra N.